| B 10 Modified (Official Form | 10) (12/11) | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK | PROOF OI | | | | |
| Name of Debtor and Case No | ^{ımber:} Residential Capita | al, LLC, Case No. 12-12020 See | Exhibir Att. | | | | |
| | | strative expense (other than a claim asserted under 11 U.S.C. ase (other than a claim asserted under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)) r | | | | | |
| Name of Creditor (the person of | or other entity to whom the debtor owes | | Check this box | | | | |
| CAREN WILSON Name and address where notices should be sent: | | | | | | | |
| Caren ,beneficiary for the Estate of Caren (Karen) Wilson | | | | | | | |
| 211 West Chandler Street | | | | | | | |
| Culpeper, Va 227 | | | (If known) | | | | |
| ou.popo. , tu EE. | • | | Filed on: | | | | |
| elephone number: 540-5 | 22.0777 | email:carenwilson1124@gmail | CO that anyone also h | | | | |
| | | | .CO that anyone else h of claim relating t | | | | |
| Name and address where paym | ent should be sent (if different from abo | RECEIVED | Attach copy of sta particulars. | | | | |
| | | | 5. Amount of C | | | | |
| Telephone number: | | email: NOV 1 4 2012 | Priority under 1 §507(a). If any | | | | |
| 1. Amount of Claim as of I | Date Case Filed: \$ 5.05 Million | KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULT | falls into one of categories, chec | | | | |
| If all or part of the claim is s | ecured, complete item 4. | MONTENIAN CAROUN CONSULI | specifying the p | | | | |
| • | ntitled to priority, complete item 5. | | the amount. | | | | |
| interest or charges. | nucludes interest or other charges in ac | ldition to the principal amount of the claim. Attach a statement | Domestic sup | | | | |
| | | stered and unregulated | under 11 U.S §507(a)(1)(A) | | | | |
| (See instruction #2) | to an Innestor | RASABOMIC | 🗂 Wages, salari | | | | |
| . Last four digits of any num | ber by 3a. Debtor may have schedu | nied account as: 3b. Uniform Claim Identifier (optional) | commissions earned within | | | | |
| which creditor identifies debto | r: | | the case was f | | | | |
| 0683 | (See instruction #3a) | (See instruction #3b) | debtor's busin whichever is | | | | |
| equested information. | f setoff: ■Real Estate | or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and pro- le ①Other | benefit plan – 1 (a)(5). 1 Up to \$2,600* toward purcha | | | | |
| range of Froperty. 5 | (when case was filed) | 76 OFIXed O Validoic | rental of prope for personal, i | | | | |
| Amount of arrearage and otl | er charges, as of the time case was fil | led, included in secured claim, | household use | | | | |
| f any: \$ | | Basis for perfection: | §507 (a)(7). Taxes or pena | | | | |
| | 5 05 Million | | governmental | | | | |
| Amount of Secured Claim: \$ | 3.03 WIIIIOTT | Amount Unsecured: \$\frac{\fin}}}}}}{\frac}{\frac{\fin}}}}}}}{\frac{\fir}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fir}}}{\firac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\firi}}}}}{\firac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}{\frac{\f | §507 (a)(8). | | | | |
| commencement of the above cas | n arising from the value of any goods recei | ived by the Debtor within 20 days before May 14, 2012, the date of e Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach do | Other - Speci paragraph of 1 (a)(_). | | | | |
| supporting such claim. \$ | (See in | nstruction #6) | Amount entit | | | | |
| | | d for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction | #7) | | | | |
| Documents: Attached are retemized statements of running completed, and redacted copie definition of "reducted".) | edacted copies of any documents that st accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgates as of documents providing evidence of participations. | upport the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invages, and security agreements. If the claim is secured, box 4 has perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #8, | oices, \$ | | | | |
| DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. | | | | | | | |
| f the documents are not availa | ble, please explain: | | to cases comm after the date | | | | |
|). Signature: (See instruction | #9) Check the appropriate box. | | ajier ine date | | | | |
| | a the creditor's authorized agent. a copy of power of attorney, if any.) | ☐ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. (See Bankruptcy Rule 3004.) ☐ I am a guarantor, sindorser, or other cod (See Bankruptcy Rule) | ebtor. | | | | |
| | | claim is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, informat | ion, and | | | | |
| Print Name: Caren, ben Title: | eficiary for the ESTATE | 10/ | /21/201: | | | | |
| . n.c. | | | | | | | |

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 2 of 74

Marsh, Shirley

From:

Marsh, Shirley

Sent:

Tuesday, November 06, 2012 11:25 AM

To:

ResCapInfo@kccllc.com

Cc:

Crespo, Melissa M.; Marinuzzi, Lorenzo

Subject:

ResCap Documents from L. Marinuzzi/M. Crespo

You have received 3 files.

Use the secure links below to download

A hard copy will be sent to you via first class mail. Please contact me if you have any questions. Thank you and regards, Shirley Marsh 212-336-4203 smarsh@mofo.com

Download Files

Available until: 06 December 2012

Download File: 0522_001.pdf

3,505.14 KB

Download File: 0523_001.pdf

5,551.72 KB

Download File: 0524_001.pdf

1,581.75 KB

MORRISON FOERSTER

LORENZO MARINUZZI
PARTNER

Morrison & Foerster 11p
1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10104-0050
Telephone:212.468.8045 Facsimile:212.468.7900
E-mail:1marinuzzi@mofo.com www.mofo.com

You have received attachment link(s) within this email sent via Accellion Secure File Transfer, To retrieve the attachment(s), please click on the link(s). To learn how your company can benefit from Accellion Secure File Transfer, please visit http://www.accellion.com

Secured by Accellion

Exhibit ADebtor Names and Case Numbers

| 1 | Debtor Names and Case Numbers | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Name of Debtor | Case Number | | | | | | | |
| | Residential Funding Company, LLC | 12-12019 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Residential Capital, LLC | 12-12020 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | ditech, LLC | 12-12021 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | DOA Holding Properties, LLC | 12-12022 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | DOA Properties IX (Lots-Other), LLC | 12-12023 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | EPRE LLC | 12-12024 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | Equity Investment I, LLC | 12-12025 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 3 | ETS of Virginia, Inc. | 12-12026 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | ETS of Washington, Inc. | 12-12027 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| ĺ | Executive Trustee Services, LLC | 12-12028 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 4 | GMAC-RFC Holding Company, LLC | 12-12029 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | GMAC Model Home Finance I, LLC | 12-12030 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 5 | GMAC Mortgage USA Corporation | 12-12031 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| h | GMAC Mortgage, LLC | 12-12032 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 6 | GMAC Residential Holding Company, LLC | 12-12033 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| - | GMACRH Settlement Services, LLC | 12-12034 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | GMACM Borrower LLC | 12-12035 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | GMACM REO LLC | 12-12036 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | GMACR Mortgage Products, LLC | 12-12037 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | HFN REO Sub II, LLC | 12-12038 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | Home Connects Lending Services, LLC | 12-12039 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Homecomings Financial Real Estate Holdings, LLC | 12-12040 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Homecomings Financial, LLC | 12-12042 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| / | Ladue Associates, Inc. | 12-12043 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | Passive Asset Transaction, LLC | 12-12044 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | PATI A, LLC | 12-12045 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | PATI B, LLC | 12-12046 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | PATI Real Estate Holdings, LLC | 12-12047 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RAHI A, LLC | 12-12048 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RAHI B, LLC | 12-12049 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RAHI Real Estate Holdings, LLC | 12-12050 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RCSFJV2004, LLC | 12-12051 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Residential Accredit Loans, Inc. | 12-12052 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc. | 12-12053 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Residential Asset Securities Corporation | 12-12054 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Residential Consumer Services of Alabama, LLC | 12-12055 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Residential Consumer Services of Ohio, LLC | 12-12056 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Residential Consumer Services of Texas, LLC | 12-12057 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Residential Consumer Services, LLC | 12-12058 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Residential Funding Mortgage Exchange, LLC | 12-12059 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Residential Funding Mortgage Securities I, Inc. | 12-12060 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Residential Funding Mortgage Securities II, Inc. | 12-12061 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Residential Funding Real Estate Holdings, LLC | 12-12062 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Residential Mortgage Real Estate Holdings, LLC | 12-12063 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC-GSAP Servicer Advance, LLC | 12-12064 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC Asset Holdings II, LLC | 12-12065 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC Asset Management, LLC | 12-12066 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC Borrower LLC | 12-12068 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC Construction Funding, LLC | 12-12069 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC REO LLC | 12-12070 (MG) | | | | | | | |
| | RFC SFJV-2002, LLC | 12-12071 (MG) | | | | | | | |

CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT OF BIRTH

STATE OF NEW YORK



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

FULL NAME OF CHILD:

Karen Jean Wilson

SEX

Temale

DATE OF BIRTH:

November 24, 1956

TIME OF BIRTH:

4:54 [] A.M; [x] P.M.

PLACE OF BIRTH:

Mercy Medical Center

Rockville Centre

, NEW YORK

MAIDEN NAME OF MOTHER:

Madeline Pecoraro

NAME OF FATHER:

William Leon Wilson

DATE FILED:

November 29, 1956

LOCAL REGISTRATION NO.:

3440

This is to certify that the information concerning the birth of the above named person is a true and accurate transcription of the information recorded on the original local certificate of birth on file with the local registrar of ______, New York, _____

Security of the security of th

Name of Locality

Signature of Local Registrar

Data

October 13, 2010

Do not accept this transcript unless the raised seal of the issuing locality is affixed thereon.

Any Alteration Invalidates This Certificate
See Reverse Side For A List of Security Features Used In This Form

DOH-2673 (9/2002)

MORTGAGE FORENSIC SECURITIZATION ANALYSIS REPORT

Name of the Borrower:

Ms. Caren J. Wilson

2011-1-304

Name on the Title:

Ms. Caren J. Wilson

Property Address:

211 W. Chandler Street Culpeper Virginia 22701

Current Servicer & Investor Information found on MERS

LOAN-1

MIN:

100062604717547543

Note Date:

12/13/2006

MIN Status:

INACTIVE

Servicer:

GMAC Mortgage, LLC

Phone: (800) 766-4622

Investor:

This investor has chosen not to display their information

LOAN-2

MIN:

100062604717547709

Note Date:

12/13/2006

MIN Status:

ACTIVE

Servicer:

GMAC Mortgage, LLC

Phone: (800) 766-4622

Investor:

This investor has chosen not to display their information

Borrower's Attorney:

N/A

A forensic analysis report should state as follows:

a. The borrower is going through the hardship, when she lost her employment and was placed in foreclosure. To save her primary residence the borrower was forced to file Chapter-7 Bankruptcy on

- 06/10/2010 in Western District of Virginia per case # 10-61734 and received discharge on 09/08/2010.
- b. Borrower Ms. Caren J. Wilson was working with the present servicer, "GMAC Mortgage LLC" for loan modification. "GMAC Mortgage LLC" received \$ 1,518, 398, 139-00 on April 13, 2009 from Treasury for "MAKING HOME AFFORDABLE" (The Mortgage Loan Modification Plan) "Program under Emergency Economic Stabilization Act, The amount received by "GMAC Mortgage LLC" can be verified from www.financialstability.gov.
- c. If irregularities in the modification /short sale/ foreclosure process reflect deeper failures to document properly changes of ownership as mortgage loans were securitized, then it is possible that Treasury is dealing with the wrong parties in the course of the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP). This could mean that borrowers either received or were denied modifications improperly.
- d. Some servicers, "GMAC Mortgage LLC" in this case dealing with Treasury may have no legal right to initiate modification/short sale/ foreclosures, which may call into question their ability to grant modifications or to demand payments from homeowners, whether they are part of a modification/ short sale/ foreclosure mitigation program or otherwise.

SUMMARY

THESE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSIN OR HAS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION, therefore the parties were selling the unregistered and unregulated securities.

RELEVANT PARTIES

a. Sub-servicers......Homecomings Financial, wholly-owned subsidiary of Residential Funding Company, LLC, will subservice approximately 56.6% by principal amount of the group I loans. GMAC Mortgage, LLC an affiliate of Residential Funding LLC. subservice approximately 12.2% by principal Company. will amount of the group I loans. National City Mortgage Company will subservice approximately 16.5% by principal amount of the group I loans. Homecomings Financial, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Residential Funding Company, LLC, will subservice approximately 53.1% by principal amount of the group II loans. GMAC Mortgage, LLC, an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC, will approximately 9.7% by principal amount of the group II loans. National City Mortgage Company will subservice approximately

- 17.2% by principal amount of the group II loans. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc. will subservice approximately 10.0% by principal amount of the group II loans.
- b. Master servicer and sponsor....... Residential Funding Company, LLC.
- c. <u>Originators</u>...... Homecomings Financial, LLC f/k/a Home comings Financial net work Inc
- e. <u>Securities Underwriter</u> In this case there are 03 Securities Underwriters are involved (collectively, the SECURITIES "UNDERWRITERS") and named as under;
 - 1) Citigroup Global Markets Inc
 - 2) Morgan Stanley & Co
 - 3) Residential Funding Securities, LLC
- g. Servicer Present Servicer is "GMAC Mortgage, LLC
- h. Trustee...... Deutsche Bank Trust Company America
- i. Yield Maintenance Agreements

Provider..... Bear Stearns Financial Products Inc.

- M. Nawaz Raja deposes and states sworn under penalty of perjury as follows:
- 1. I am over the age of eighteen years and qualified to make this affidavit. I have no direct or indirect interest in the outcome of the case at bar for which I am offering my observations, analysis, opinions and testimony. I am a Mortgage Forensic Auditor. My resume is attached and incorporated herein.
- 2. My area of expertise, based upon knowledge, training, and experience is in the field of securities, the securities industry, derivative securities, securities regulation, special purpose vehicles, structured investment vehicles, creation of trusts pooling agreements; issuance of asset backed securities and specifically mortgage backed securities by special purpose

vehicles in which an entity is named as trustee for the holders of certificates of mortgage backed securities. The Trustee Disclaims any economic interest in the mortgage loans the economics of securitized residential mortgages, securitization of mortgage loans, accounting in the context of said securitizations and REMIC vehicles and pooling and servicing of securitized loans.

- 3. I have knowledge, training and experience of various precursor asset protection strategies, including minimization of tax liability, which also are constructed to be made bankruptcy remote in commercial and real estate settings.
- 4. I have knowledge, training and experience in loan originations, underwriting and the assignment and assumption of securitized residential mortgage loans.
- 5. I also have knowledge, training and experience, including the areas of securities, real property, Internal Revenue Code as applicable to REMICs and Uniform Commercial Code. I also have knowledge, training and experience in the practices prevalent during the period of 2001-2009 that enabled the accumulation and availability of an overwhelming abundance of investment dollars, made possible because the derivatives sold to investors were made to appear that they contained both exceptional growth and zero risk, back the history of mortgage success up to that point in time had been high, and because these instruments were in addition made to appear undeniably and excessively guaranteed by 3rd party sources.
- 6. I also have knowledge, training and experience that this abundance of funding was one of the direct and inevitable causes of violations against homeowners and purchasers pertaining to funding of mortgage loans for purchase and refinancing, including predatory lending practices and Truth in Lending Act Violations.
- 7. "All factual testimony made by me is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. All opinion testimony made by me is beyond a reasonable degree of probability in my area of expertise, which is set forth in the above paragraph and in my resume.
- 8. I have no direct or indirect interest in the outcome of the case at Bar for which I am offering observations, analysis, opinions and testimony.
- 9. "I have been asked to render opinions pertaining to the above case, in which **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** is the Borrower, and the Mortgage Note ("Note"), **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** on title. "Mortgage/Deed of Trust" the propriety of foreclosure, and securitization issues, among others, are in question. The original nominal Lender according to said documents is "Home comings Financial LLC f/k/a Home Coming Financial net work Inc, 2101 REXFORD Suite # 250 W Charlotte NC 28211 ("Nominal Lender")
- 10. I evaluated the materials listed below, among other materials, facts and data in basing my opinions and inferences. Each of these documents and other materials, facts and data are of the type that expertise in my field would customarily rely upon in forming opinions and inferences. The information sources, I reviewed were sufficient for me to testify as to the

facts and opinions that are included herein. Where additional information is required to make other factual statements and express opinions on further subject matter, I have so stated. The documents were presented to me by **Ms. Caren J. Wilson**, 211 W. Chandler Street Culpeper Virginia 22701, for the forensic review, analysis and opinion.

- 11. I have reviewed the settlement papers, Securities Exchange Commission filings, and various land records of the Culpeper County of Virginia. I also performed independent searches as to Securitization Documents available to the public online at http://www.sec.gov/. Most of the testimony in this Declaration was plainly clear from review of the below listed materials, but to the extent that technical or specialized principles and methods were required, they have been reliably applied:
- A. The closing loan documents relating to the loan transactions that are the subject of this lawsuit. Not attached, because too voluminous and others are already of record with the Court. Mortgage and Note are attached as **EXHIBIT-A&B**
- B. The factual results of a forensic review and analysis performed by me which I have attached as a chart showing the path of borrower's note as **EXHIBIT-C**
- C. In addition I also reviewed additional correspondence sent to, GMAC Mortgage LLC, and others, from the borrower <u>Ms. Caren J. Wilson</u>, requesting an accounting and other information pertaining to accounting of the borrower's mortgage account.
- D. The following recorded documents: Mortgage; Note; (Note was not recorded in the county lands record) are attached as **EXHIBIT**, A & B above.
- E. Various applicable Securitization Documents pertaining to Mortgage Trust Pool, 10 K, 8k, Pooling and Servicing Agreement, prospectus Supplement, entitled "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust", including but not limited to the Pooling and Servicing Agreement ("PSA") dated 12/01/2006; filed with SEC, file #RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust · 8-K/A · For 2/15/07 as EX-10 Filed On 2/15/07 3:31pm ET, SEC File 333-131213-34 · Accession Number 1382368-7-12 and Prospectus Supplement 424B5, dated 12/06/2006 ("ProS") filed with the SEC, file #Filed On 01/29/2007 at 4:37pm ET, SEC File 333-131213, -34 Accession Number 891092-7-238
- F. The Securitization Documents are too voluminous to attach to this Declaration as they are perhaps more than 2000 pages. I have attached a few key pages from the PSA, Prospectus Supplement, 8K and 10K including a diagram of the transaction, with the identity of the various Participants typed thereon, and a few pages from the ProS, FWP showing

- the borrower's loan Number, zip code, city name and principle amount of the note etc.
- G. The investor on loan as per MERS record shows has been intentionally hidden and the investor in this case has chosen not to disclose its information, which is incorrect, misleading and fraud, in the present case. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas also is the trustee on the Trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust". Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas cannot be Trustee or investor or own the note, lest it becomes a partnership with the certificate holders. The information about the investor was intentionally withheld-by-the securitization partners which are violations of the Federal Reserve new amendment which is law now and TILA. Borrowers repeatedly requested this information and were not provided. This information will expose the foreclosing parties before the court who have acted and are acting ultravirus.
- H. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas is also acting under the various layers 424(b) (5) Prospectus, Pooling & Servicing Agreement (PSA) filed with the SEC.of Trustees, without any specific description, where One Trustee ends and other Trustee Begins. It is classic obfuscation and musical chairs. Note that Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas is identified "as trustee" but the usual language of "under the terms of that certain trust dated....etc" is absent. This is because there usually is NO TRUST AGREEMENT designated as such and NO TRUST. In fact, as stated here it is merely an agreement between the co-issuers and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, which it means that far from being a trust it is more like the operating agreement of an LLC
- I. The Nominal Lender on the Mortgage/Deed of Trust is "Home comings Financial LLC", which rented its name and charter to some undisclosed lender for the payment of hidden fees for standing in between for undisclosed lender, which is a violation. As the undisclosed lender was not disclosed to the borrower as of today in spite of repeated requests of borrowers.
- J. Promissory Note has no endorsements, whereas the note should have at least nine (09) or more endorsements; which are missing on the note, reasons best known to the entities involved;
 - 1) Home Coming Financial LLC→ Residential Funding Company.

 LLC→ Residential Accredit Loans, Inc. (an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC.)→ Citigroup Global Markets Inc→Morgan Stanley & Co→Residential Funding Securities, LLC→ Dealers→

- Agents→ Investors(Prospectus Supplement 424B5, dated 12/06/2006 ("ProS") RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, et al. 424B5 filed On 1/29/07 4:37pm ET SEC Files 333-131213, -34 Accession Number 891092-7-238
- 2) The name of all of the above parties was not there on the note and no endorsement was done. The note never made to the Trust and there was no endorsement in the name of Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" therefore the assets were never became the assets of the Trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" and "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" has acted and still acting ultra-virus.
- 3) The Chain of transfer is not perfect and raises many legal questions, which Honorable court can look in providing the justice whoever deserves.
- 4) Concerns about securitization chain of title also go to the standing question; if the mortgages were not properly transferred and recorded in the Culpeper County Lands Records, in the securitization process, then the party bringing the foreclosure does not in fact own the mortgage and therefore lacks standing to foreclose.
- 5) The mortgage lenders and securitization servicers including "GMAC Mortgage LLC" should not undertake to foreclose on any homeowner unless they are able to do so in full compliance with applicable laws and their contractual agreements with the homeowner.
- 6) In the present case, the nominal lender "Home Coming Financial LLC" sold the borrower's loan for cash to "Residential Funding Company, LLC, who further sold it for cash to Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., which further sold it to Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co, and to Residential Funding Securities. Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co, and Residential Funding Securities further sold for cash to Investors through the Dealers and Agents. On each stage the cash was received for the loan of Ms. Caren J. Wilson, which was not disclosed to the Borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson at closing or after the closing till this date.

والتحا

- 7) It is obvious from the securitization documents that the loan of **Ms.** Caren J. Wilson was sold prior¹ to her settlement on 12/13/2006 as Asset Backed Security.
- 8) Chain of Transfer of Note is broken and not perfect.
- 9) The securitization process is complicated, requires several properly executed transfers and then recorded in the County Lands Records. If at any point the required legal steps are not followed to the letter, then the ownership of the mortgage loan could fall into question.
- Note and the investor received a "BOND", both are not the same, having the different terms, and Borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson was not the party in the "Bond" deal. Borrower's identity, personal information was used without the borrower's knowledge, consent and permission.
- 11) The problems in the mortgage market are highly technical, but they are extremely serious. At best they present problems of fraud on the court, fraud on borrowers and clouded title to property.
- 12) These issues are no more technicalities than the borrower's signature on a mortgage. Cutting corners may improve securitization's economic efficiency, but it undermines its legal viability.
- manage the Trust by the Depositor which is "Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC.", and the duties of the Trustee are just the administrative as per section 8.01 of the "PSA" dated 12/01/2006. The "PSA" is one of the Exhibit 10 of the current report 8K filed before the SEC as per file # 333-131213-34, and Accession # 1382368-7-12, filed on 02/15/2007 at 3:31 pm ET, by the securitization partners, who have admitted that the borrowers loan was sold to various parties for cash. According to the "PSA" section 8.01 Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas has acted and is still acting ultra-virus.
- 14) The True Sale is from Depositor (Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC.) to the Securities underwriters, which are Citigroup Global Markets Inc,

¹ S-3 Registration Form filed by Residential Accredit Loans with Securities and Exchange Commission as per SEC file # 333-131213 on 01/23/2006 and declared effective on 03/03/2006, of Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, RALI Series 2007-QS1

Morgan Stanley & Co and Residential Funding Securities, LLC, in this case, and not to the Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" in this case.

- 15) The event of Credit Default Swaps, AIG Bail out and insurance proceeds caused the Trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" to dissolve and "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" is no more a trustee of the Trust, RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, in this case, and therefore "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" acted without any authority and committing the civil theft on the Borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson
- 16) If irregularities in the foreclosure process reflect deeper failures to document properly changes of ownership as mortgage loans were securitized, then it is possible that Treasury is dealing with the wrong parties in the course of the Home Affordable Modification Program (HAMP). This could mean that borrowers either received or were denied modifications improperly. Borrower Ms. Caren J. Wilson requested GMAC Mortgage LLC for help in modification but was not helped.
- 12. I use the following definition of "Creditor" taken from research in cases, the Bankruptcy Code and the Uniform Commercial Code. A "Creditor" is a legal entity that has advanced funds, goods or services in consideration of the right to payment, or has purchased the right to be paid. A "Creditor" is an entity that had a Claim against Debtor before the case was filed. 11 U.S.C § 101(10). A "Claim" is a right to payment. § 101(5). Only a Creditor may file a Proof of Claim. § 501(a). The "Official Form 10 reflects this requirement by describing the 'Name of Creditor' as 'the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money or property."
- 13. In the context of securitized residential mortgages (including the one in the instant case), a "Creditor" is a legal entity or group of entities or persons under the law who have advanced money for the funding of mortgage loans and who are owed money from those mortgage loans.
- 14. The creditor in the case at bar can be generically described as an Investor, (which remained undisclosed to the borrowers in spite of repeated requests), as defined under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, who has paid money to an intermediary in a chain of securitization that resulted in the funding of one or most residential loan transactions; the promise to pay is from an entity usually referred to as a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) which is the frequently erroneously referred to as a "Trust" with a "Trustee," that in the applicable Pool in this case as a "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas". The investor in

- this case, was intentionally misstated or has chosen not to display their information and the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** tried her level best to get the information but it was intentionally not disclosed to the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson**, and Honorable court can determine this violation.
- 15. The creditor/Investor receives an instrument which is generically referred to as a Mortgage Backed Asset Certificate/Bond ("Certificate/Bond"). The Certificate/Bond incorporates terms by which the promise to pay interest and principal is made by the issuing SPV and the manager for this is "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" in the present case.
- 16. Meanwhile the lender/investor gets a mortgage bond NOT SIGNED BY THE BORROWER. (borrower signed a note but the lender received a bond from a party not involved in the borrower's closing), There is no nexus between borrower and lender without recognizing the obvious there were parties, documents, agreements and corresponding duties and obligations existing in the UNDISCLOSED MIDDLE, which the Honorable court need to ask the foreclosing entities in doing justice, whoever deserves.
- 17. The promise to pay is conditioned upon several terms, including but now limited to the performance of pool of loans, the obligations of third parties, and impliedly the receipt of insurance proceeds triggered by partial non-performance of the pool of assets allocated to the SPV.
- 18. In turn the SPV pool is carved out of other pools-created by Aggregators employed by investment banking firms. The Aggregators are parties to Pooling and Service Agreements and Assignment and Assumption Agreements, which are Securitization documents that predate 2the funding of the loans in any of the Pools. The Certificate/Bond issued to the Investor conveys a percentage interest in the Pool of assets that is allocated to the SPV. I was asked to render an opinion as to the factual basis pertinent to the issue of Standing. As relates to Constitutional Standing, my opinion is premised on the following definition:

"Constitutional standing under Article III requires, at a minimum, that a party must have suffered some actual or threatened injury as a result of the other party's conduct, that the injury be traced to the challenged action, and that it is likely to be redressed by a favorable decision".

19. My presumption, in the context of the question posed to me, is that standing requires that a party will suffer financial loss derived from non-

² Borrower's loan was sold forward almost 11 months before her loan closing. S-3 Registration Form filed by Residential Accredit Loans with Securities and Exchange Commission as per SEC file # 333-131213 on 01/23/2006 and declared effective on 03/03/2006, of Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, RALI Series 2007-QS1

performance (i.e., non-payment) of the subject contract, which in this case is the obligation that arose when the subject loan was funded on behalf of the borrower as homeowner. Since the funding occurred out of a pool of money received by the investment banker from the investors, the investors are creditors, which in the present case were not disclosed to the borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson. By the way indenture (usually incorporation a prospectus) the investors agreed to an operating plan that defined the functions of the conduit which was used to funnel funds to the investor from the pool. This operating plan is loosely and erroneously referred to as a trust ("RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" in this case), with the manager referred to as a Trustee ("Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" in this case). However, since now assets remain in the conduit which is defined under the Internal Revenue Code as REMIC (Real Estate Mortgage Investment Conduit). The REMIC is referred to in the world of finance as an SPV (Special Purpose Vehicle). I presume the words "conduit" and "vehicle" convey the fact that no actual business events of taxable or monetary significance takes place in the REMIC. I conclude that this corroborates my opinion that the investors, which have not been disclosed to the borrower Ms. Caren J. Wilson, are the creditors, having been the only parties to advance funds from which the subject loan was funded.

- 20. The note signed by said borrowers and the mortgage-backed bond³ accepted by the investor who purchased said security are both evidence of the obligation. The Mortgage/Deed of Trust is intended to be incident to the note and possibly incident to the "BOND", if the chain of title was perfected, which is not perfected in this case, as mentioned above.
- 21. The Payee on the note and the payee on the bond are different parties.

 The bonds were issued with three principal indentures:
 - (1) Repayment of principal non-recourse based upon the payments by obligors under the terms of notes and mortgages in the pool
 - (2) Payment of interest under the same conditions and
 - (3) The conveyance of a percentage ownership in the pool of loans, which means that collectively 100% of the investors own 100% of the entire pool of loans. This means that the "Trust" does NOT own the pool or the loans in the pool (This was admitted 4 of

³ The borrower signed a <u>note</u> and the lender received a <u>bond</u>. Those are two different things. If someone let them continue with this fraud, then someone is giving houses to brokers who never put up a dime for the funding of the loan.

⁴ Please see their admission under the "METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION" of Prospectus Supplement 424 (b) 5

Prospectus Supplement 424 (b)5 dated 12/06/2006 of "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, et al. 424B5 · On 1/29/07Filed on 4/29/07 4:37pm ET · SEC Files 333-131213, -34 Accession Number 891092-7-238 It means that the "Trust" is merely an operating agreement through which the investors may act collectively under certain conditions. Accordingly, it is my opinion that the parties with standing in relation to a securitized loan are the debtor/borrowers and the creditor/investors (which remained undisclosed in the present case Please see MERS record **EXHIBIT D & E).** This would be further corroborated if, as a matter of fact, the investment banker followed industry standing of selling the mortgage backed security FORWARD. "Selling forward" means that the security was sold and the money was collected before the first loan was funded on behalf of the borrowers. However, even if the investment banker had not closed the sale of securities with investors before accepting applications for loans, it would have been on the basis of expectation of said funding. Ultimately, in all securitized loans there is really only one transaction ("ONE TRANSACTION THEORY") --- a loan from the investors to the homeowner. Without an investor there would be no loan; conversely without a borrower there would be no investor or investment.

22. It is accordingly my opinion that none of the following parties are or ever were creditors and that they therefore lack standing as defined above: Home Coming Financial LLC, ("Nominal Lender on the Deed of Trust and Note"), Residential Funding Company, LLC (Seller, Sponsor, who sold this loan to Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., for cash), Residential Accredit Loans, Inc (which is Depositor, who sold this loan for cash to Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC (who as "securities underwriters" bought the loans for cash from Depositor and further sold these loans as certificate and bonds to Dealers/Agents for cash), The dealers/agents who in turn sold these certificates and bonds to investors for cash. "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, just converted these loans into certificates/ bonds and sent them back to the Depositor, in a series of securitization transactions, pursuant to Pooling and Servicing Agreement, nor Dealers/Agents who are part of securities underwriter, as Securities Underwriter, had at any time relevant to the subject matter before this Court, to the present, suffered any actual or threatened injury as a result of the Borrower's non-payment of monthly payments pursuant to the

original terms of the Note, nor because of alleged default thereon, nor can any actual or threatened injury be traced to any other proceedings in any other court, any action involving Proof of Claim, or otherwise, and therefore there never was any legitimate redress available to any of these parties by a favorable decision.

- 23. Specifically, pursuant to the materials I have reviewed, which I have been asked to assume includes all evidence presented to the Court, along with my knowledge and experience involving securitized mortgages, and my training and knowledge and experience involving securitized mortgages, and my training and experience, it is certain that none of the parties mentioned in para "22" above, had at any time relevant to the subject matter before this Court, were the:
 - A) Holder of the Note;
 - B) Owner of the Note; or
 - C) Party with the right to enforce the Note.
- D) Nor held any interest at all in the Property, Note nor Mortgage/ Deed of Trust at the time the action was initiated, or any time thereafter.
- 24. As it relates to the issue of the Real Party in interest, the factual criteria and the question I have presupposed is: "Whether any of said Creditors own financial interest was at stake in the outcome of the litigation before the Court." "My opinion is offered based on all evidence before the Court to date is as follows."
- A) "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for "**RALI Series 2007**-QS1 Trust", in a series of securitization transactions, pursuant to Pooling and Servicing Agreement dated 12/01/2006, filed with SEC as EX-10 Filed on 02/15/2007 at 3:31pm ET as per SEC file # 333-131213-34 and Accession # 1382368-7-12, did not have any of its own funds at risk in the outcome of the litigation.
- B) "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for "**RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust**" as Trustee in my opinion was not, fully and completely authorized to appear as the named party on behalf of the Real Party in Interest, in a representative capacity for the investors in the securities for the above described and named Mortgage Trust Pool.
- C) Also, the proof in the record is inadequate to establish that the ownership of the Note, holder ship of the Note, or right to enforce the Note was properly pooled to the above described Mortgage Trust Pool.

- D) Accordingly, as the record stands the evidence does not establish "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" as being the Real Party in Interest, because the Trustee's duties were terminated, Trust was dissolved, due to the major trigger event of AIG Bailout, when the Toxic Assets were purchased by the Maiden Lane LLC as per "Asset Purchase Agreement" dated 12/12/2008.
- E) Due to major trigger event, AIG Bailout and insurance proceeds from "MBIA", "Ambac" and many other insurance companies, the trust has been dissolved and the "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" is acting ultra-virus and committing civil theft.
- F) Home Coming Financial LLC, ("Nominal Lender on the Deed of Trust and Note"), Residential Funding Company, LLC (Seller, Sponsor, who sold this loan to Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., for cash), Residential Accredit Loans, Inc (which is Depositor, who sold this loan for cash to Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC (who as "securities underwriters" bought the loans for cash from Depositor and further sold these loans as certificate and bonds to Dealers/Agents for cash), The dealers/agents who in turn sold these certificates and bonds to investors for cash. "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, just converted these loans into certificates/ bonds and sent them back to the Depositor, in a series of securitization transactions, pursuant to Pooling and Servicing Agreement, nor Dealers/Agents who are part of securities underwriter, as Securities Underwriter and MERS, are the parties whose own funds were at risk in the outcome of the litigation, and therefore none of them were a Real Party in Interest.
- G) In terms of the real estate portion of the transaction, the homeowner was the Borrower and the Investor was the actual Creditor (which are still undisclosed to the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** in this case).
- H) The investor is still the Creditor if the investor has not sold, transferred or alienated the hybrid mortgage backed security and if the investor has not been directly or indirectly paid through credit default swaps, with or without subrogation, or paid through a federal program with or without subrogation. Since no such instruments appear on record, any right of subrogation would appear to be equitable.
- I) Thus for purposes of this declaration, the unknown and undisclosed Investors constitutes the only Creditor presumed to Exist until the undersigned is presented with contrary evidence of the type that an expert

- in my field of expertise would normally take into account in forming opinions and conclusions.
- J) Therefore I conclude that if there remain any Creditors, pursuant to the Note signed by Ms. Caren J. Wilson, they are the unidentified investors and all other parties are intermediary or representative or disinterested.
- K) The borrower has made unsuccessful attempts to obtain from these entities and others, the identity of the lender, the documentation authenticating the identity of the lender, and an accounting from the lender as to all money paid or received in connection with the subject obligation.
- L) Neither Affiant, nor Defendants, nor the court will be able to determine amount of the borrower's equity in the property until a complete accounting of all debits and credits including but not limited to the third party payments referred above. Until such time as requests for said information have been answered, I will be unable to identify with certainty the exact identity of the current creditor, meaning the true owner of the alleged obligation, other than to say that it is not, Home Coming Financial LLC, ("Nominal Lender on the Deed of Trust and Note"), Residential Funding Company, LLC (Seller, Sponsor, who sold this loan to Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., for cash), Residential Accredit Loans, Inc (which is Depositor, who sold this loan for cash to Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co. Residential Funding Securities, LLC (who as "securities underwriters" bought the loans for cash from Depositor and further sold these loans as certificate and bonds to Dealers/Agents for cash), The dealers/agents who in turn sold these certificates and bonds to investors for cash. "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" as trustee for RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust, nor Dealers/Agents who are part of securities underwriter, as Securities Underwriter and MERS as computer data base company, or any participant in securitization chain are the parties whose own funds were at risk in the outcome of the litigation, and therefore none of them were a Real Party in Interest.
- M) The only parties that can claim to be a Holder in Due Course of the note are those that paid value for the note, without knowledge that there were any pending challenges to its validity and who fulfill the other requirement for Holder In Due Course status. This HDC and third party sources are the only ones that could conceivable suffer a monetary or pecuniary loss resulting from the non-payment of the obligation.
- N) The investor could lose if because they advanced the actual funds from which the financial product "LOAN" was funded, assuming these investors that purchased Asset Backed Securities were those in which ownership of the loans were described with sufficient specificity as to, at least express

- the intent to convey ownership of the obligation as evidenced by the promissory note and an interest in real property consisting of security interest held by an entity that was described as beneficiary of the trust created by an instrument entitled, "Mortgage"/ "Deed of Trust".
- O) These <u>Investors were not named, the practice has been intentional</u>, in my opinion, based on overwhelming commonality of this obvious reoccurring, obvious failure, and other overwhelming evidence. The THIRD PARTY SOURCES that could conceivably lose because they would have paid value prior to default or notice of default, and fall within one or more of the following classifications:
 - 1) Insurers that paid some party on behalf of said investor;
 - 2) Counterparties on Credit Default Swap;
 - 3) Conveyance or constructive trusts arising by operation of law through cross collateralization and over collateralization within the aggregate asset pools or later within the Special Purpose Vehicle tranches; (Tranches is an industry term of art referring to the types of division within a Special Purpose Vehicle)
 - 4) The US Treasury Department through the Troubled Assets Relief Program in which approximately \$ 600 Billion of \$ 700 Billion has been authorized and paid to purchase or pay the obligation on "TROUBLED" (non performing) assets of the loans are part of the class of assets targeted by "TARP"
 - 5) The US Federal Reserve, which has extended credit on said troubled assets and has exercised options to purchase said troubled assets, through the Maiden Lane LLC as per "Assets Purchase Agreement" dated 12/12/2008;
 - 6) Any other party that has traded in Mortgage Backed Securities ("MBS") from the aggregated pools or securitized tranches containing interests in the notes
- 25. I concur with the allegations that challenge the validity of endorsements and/ or transfers as they have been presented in the court to obtain relief, and I believe that there is good cause, based on the totality of circumstances to challenge that the note was endorsed or otherwise properly transferred to the Mortgage Trust "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" for which Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, was the Trustee.
- 26. In my opinion, it is unlikely that any Holder in Due Course ("HDC") exists, because of the way the securitization was universally practiced with in the Investment Banking Community during 2001-2009. Hence the loan product sold to the subject homeowner included a promissory note that was

evidence of real obligation that arose when the transaction was funded but lost its negotiability in the securitization process, which thus bars anyone from successfully claiming "HDC" status, such as by:

- i) The negotiability of the note was negatively affected by;
 - (1) The splitting of the note and Deed of Trust as described herein;
 - (2) by the addition of terms, conditions, third party obligors and undisclosed profits, fees, kickbacks all contrary to existing federal and state applicable statues and common law; and Naming "MERS" as beneficiary on the Deed of Trust, when "MERS" was not a creditor and there was no name of the MERS on the Promissory Note signed by the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson**;
 - (3) Knowledge of title and chain of title defects in the ownership of the note,
 - (4) Beneficial interest in encumbrance, and position as obligee on the obligation originally undertaken by the subject homeowner.
- ii) None of the known participants in the subject securitization chain, including but not limited to entities mentioned above herein, has suffered any financial loss relating to the loan, nor are they threatened with any future loss even if foreclosure never occurs.
- iii) None of the known securitization participants has ever been the real party in interest as a lender or financial institution underwriting a loan while funding the same with respect to the loan.
- iv) None of the known securitization participants will suffer any monetary loss through nonperformance of the loan.
- v) All of the known securitization participants received fees and profits relating to the loans.
- vi) The existence and identity of the real parties in interest was withheld from the borrowers in the closing and servicing of the loan, and since.
- vii) All of the known securitization participants fail to meet one or more of the following two tests required for Holder in Due Course ("HDC") status:
 - 1) Without actual knowledge of defects; and/or
 - 2) In good faith, meaning a legitimate belief that the loan was sold, based upon the information they had at the time of purchase of the Note.

- 27. In the case at bar, it is my opinion based upon a reasonable degree of financial analytical certainty, that the total fees and profits generated were actually in excess of the principal stated on the note which is to say that investors unknowingly placed money at risk the amount of which vastly exceeding the funding on the loan to the borrower.
- 28. The only way this could be accomplished was by preventing both the borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson in this case and the investor (still undisclosed to Ms. Caren J. Wilson) from accessing the true information, which is why the industry practice of the nominees and beneficiary(like MERS) was created, when MERS was not creditor. Even where MERS is not specifically named in the originating documents presented to the borrower at the "closing" it was industry practice from 2001-2009 to utilize MERS. "Services", or to implement practices similar to those utilized by MERS.
- 29. Therefore it is possible and even probable that the data from the closing was entered into the MERS electronic registry and that an assignment was executed to MERS purportedly giving MERS same power over the obligation, the Note and/ or the encumbrance. As a general rule in securitized transactions and especially where MERS is named as nominee, documents of transfer (assignment, endorsement, etc.) are created and executed contemporaneously with the notice of default, thus selecting a participant in or outside the securitization chain to be the party who initiates collection and foreclosures.
- 30. The Loan made to the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** was part of a two way transaction in which the two parties at each end thereof each purchased a "FINANCIAL PRODUCT". On one end, the home buyer or the refinancer was "Sold" a residential home loan. On the other end, the Mortgage Bond was sold to an investor. In my opinion, both financial products were securities (**Unregistered and unregulated Security**). As mentioned in the Prospectus 424(b) 5, "THESE SECURITIES HAVE NOT BEEN APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED BY THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION NOR HAS THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION", therefore the parties were selling the unregistered and unregulated securities.
- 31. Neither set of securities were properly registered or regulated, and the information that would reveal the identity of the "LENDER" is in the sole care, custody and control of the loan servicer or another intermediary conduit in the securitization chain, including but not limited to the trustee or depositor for the special purpose vehicle ("SPV") that re-issued the

- homeowner's note and encumbrance as a "DERIVATIVE HYBRID DEBT INSTRUMENT" ("BOND") and equity instrument (Ownership of percentage share of pool assets, of which the subject loan was one such asset in said pool.
- 32. Said Security, the Bond, that was sold to an investor was done by use of borrower's identity and obligation without permission. In my opinion, it is equally probable that the investors were kept unaware that a maximum of only 2/3 of their investment was actually going to fund Debtor /Borrower's loan and other similarly situated, with the excess being used to create instant income for participants.
- 33. Borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** was, unaware that such large profits or premiums were being generated by virtue of her identity and signature on the purported loan documents.
- 34. According to information's from the borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson**, in this case, has made unsuccessful attempts to obtain from these parties and others the identity of the investor/creditor and possession documentation authenticating this identity. Neither Affiant, nor the court will be able to determine the identity of the creditor, if any still remains, until requests for information and documentation have been complied with.
- 35. It is also my opinion, that there is a very high probability that all or part of the Borrower's Note was paid in whole or in part by the third parties, based upon the industry practice, my personal review of hundreds of similar transactions including the one at bar, and the published reports. Until such time the identity of the creditor, the document trail, and precise money pertaining to payments by third party sources is disclosed, neither Affiant, nor the court will be able to determine the amounts of borrower's equity in the property.
- 36. Borrower's "OBLIGATION" is the amount of the money owed to the creditor, which are none of the entities involved in this case. The obligation originated with the advance of capital by the investor who purchased mortgage backed securities and ended with the promise to pay by the homeowner who is borrower in the transaction. The securitization chain obscures the fact that the investor was the creditor to the homeowner/borrower.
- 37. Further, based upon repeated interactions with servicers across the country and specific documents reviewed in this case, it is highly unlikely that any of the current parties in litigation have or desire to have any knowledge of third party debits or credit transaction in the securitization chain of the transaction originated from the subject of this action.

- 38. Hence, based upon the industry practice, it is my opinion that it is far more likely than not they would be ignorant of the true status of the amount of principal or interest due, if any on the subject obligation.
- 39. Whether the Borrower's note is or ever was in default, a fact that can only be known by the real creditor, the investment bank that is the party in charge of the securitization management decisions. Based upon experience with the parties claiming an interest in the financial product sold to the homeowner in this case and their behavior and method of operating as demonstrated in other case, it is my opinion that none of the participants, with whom the borrower had contact, individually or collectively, has knowledge, or has done due diligence to determine the existence of a default as to the creditor, nor whether as a factual matter, the Note, Mortgage/Deed of Trust or obligation has been extinguished or paid in whole or in part by co-obligors, insurers, Federal bailouts and / or etcetera.
- 40. The reason "as a factual matter" is emphasized is that Investment Bank in charge of the entire security, never intended to credit any borrowers accounts for payments by these third parties sources. Considering the fact that Affiant is aware of many dozens of times in which there is a pending action to enforce a mortgage and to foreclose upon the home in which information providing the identity of the creditor and the fact that third Party payments have been made on behalf of borrower's obligation, it is my opinion that this behavior is intentional and designed to obscure the facts long enough for the court to presume that the action taken to collect on the debt or foreclose on the home was reasonable and proper.
- 41. It is in my opinion that many different parties in the securitization chain came to express title or claim right to enforce the Mortgage/ Deed of Trust and Note and that there was an intention to split the Note from the Deed of Trust, while heretofore unusual in the market place was common place in securitization of residential loans.
- 42. The recorded encumbrance was never effectively or constructively transferred because it was never executed in recordable form nor was an effort made to create such document by the parties to the instant case until they decided to pursue foreclosure. All transfer or purported transfers because the Mortgage/Deed of Trust interest as recorded remained in the name of the originator, or that party defined as "NOMINAL LENDER" in the Note and Deed of Trust. Virginia Mortgage statues require that every change of beneficiary interest in Mortgage to real property to be recorded.
- 43. Virginia recording statues require that every change of beneficiary interest in a Mortgage to real property to be recorded to be enforceable

against a bonafide purchaser for value without notice of a competing claim. Hence, in my opinion, that the holder of the Note, either Singular or plural, were not the same parties as those who purportedly held the Mortgage at any time pertinent to this case and that was the result that was intended by the mortgage originator (nominal lender) and the participants in the securitization chain, since it was a typical practice in the investment banking industry in their process of securitizing loans throughout the period of 2001-2009.

- 44. In my opinion, with high degree of certainty, the Borrower's title was and is subject to a cloud on title, a claim of unmarketable title and possibility a title defect that cannot be cured without the court order as a result of the manner in which borrower's loan was securitized. In all cases reviewed by me, which include more than 50 securitization chains, the prospectus and other published documents clearly express that a securitized mortgage is treated sometimes as being secured by the real estate, and sometimes as not being secured by the real estate, depending on the context and purpose of the accounting.
- 45. The naming of the party⁵ other than the investor as Beneficiary under the Mortgage as distinct from a third party named as Payee on the Promissory Note and the same or other third party named as Beneficiary under the policy of the title insurance demonstrates an intent or presumption or reasonable conclusion that there was intent by some or all of the parties at various times in the steps of the securitization process to separate the Note from the Mortgage/Deed of Trust, thus creating the cloud on the title for both the owner of the property and any party seeking to express or claim an interest in the real property by virtue of the encumbrance.
- 46. I have also reviewed, for the past many years, published financial accounting standards obviously intended for auditors involved in auditing and rendering opinions on the financial statements of entities involved in securitization, Securities issuance and securities sale and trading. If the known parties in securitization scheme followed the rule, they did not post the instant transaction as a loan receivable. The transaction most likely was posted on their ledgers as fee income or profit which was later reported on their income statement in combination with all other such transactions. These rules explain how and why the transaction was posted on or off the books of the ledger originating entity. These entries adopted

⁵ MERS when MERS is not creditor and there is no name of MERS on the Promissory Note ⁶ The Trust is for the current receivables and when the loan is in default it is thrown out of the trust.

by said companies constitute admissions that the transaction was not considered a loan receivable on its balance sheet, or on the ledgers used to prepare the balance sheet, but rather shown on the income statement as fee for service as a conduit. These admissions in my opinion are fatal to any assertion by any such party currently seeking to enforce mortgages in their own names on their own behalf, including but not limited to the securitization participants in this case.

- 47. It also appears that the standard industry practice of creating a yield spread premiums between the creditor and originator was extended in the case of securitization chain such that in this case, in my opinion, it is highly probable, for beyond 50% probability that the borrower's loan was sold or presold to the investors at a gross profit to the participants in the securitization chain of at least 35% of the total principal balance of the note. It is also my opinion that this was done without full disclosure to the investors and that is tantamount to fraud upon the investors.
- 48. In my opinion the investors were and remain completely unaware that much, and in many cases most of the money they supplied was used to fund fees for the participants in the securitization chain, with the rest used to fund bloated mortgage loans based upon inflated appraisals by companies that had a less than an arm length relationship with the originator and others involved in obtaining approval for the loan. These yield spread premiums far exceed those ever paid prior to the securitization of the residential mortgages. With yield spread premiums such as these, there was no way that there could ever be a legitimate profit made by any investor under ordinary circumstances, with the exception of those in upper tranches, whose profit was insured from the start, no matter how lacking in viability were these investment vehicles on the whole, because of the way payments to the investors were prearranged.
- 49. It is also opinion that the overall security was planned by the aggregator and other participants to fail from the start. The reason for the intended failure of the overall pool in my opinion was to better insure that the fraud perpetrated on the investors would be less likely to be discovered and to make it so that additional unearned profit could be made by the aggregator and the other participants, based on the third party payments discussed above that were payable only when there was a declaration of default by the pool, often called a "trigger event". [This trigger event caused the trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" to dissolve, thus terminating the duties of Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas"]

- 50. In my opinion, the allegations regarding the fraud and conversion, as well as intentional aiding and abetting or conspiracy are well known. The theory that each participant, including the very first party in the securitization chain, the lender on the Mortgage/Deed of Trust, is complicit in acts and series of acts with the knowledge that these actions will harm the borrowers, including fraud and conversion, and/ or are part of a scheme to commit fraud in the form of not crediting borrowers account by the third parties source payments, thereby converting ownership of the property from the borrower, the plaintiff in this case, is well respected among those that study transactions of this sort.
- 51. The following are types of wrong performed upon borrowers, at least some of which occurred with the Borrower, **Ms. Caren J. Wilson**, in this case, by loan brokers and originator "Home Coming Financial LLC" in the original Mortgage/Deed of Trust), which were acts in furtherance of an overall fraud and conversion scheme that were necessary to its success, because without a large number of loans doomed to fail from the start the main planner and major participants could not be certain that the mortgage pools as whole would fail.
 - a) The fact that the Borrowers paid as much as double what the homes were actually worth, due to a real estate market that was artificially inflated because of the wealth of investment dollars looking for a home following the bursting of the dot.com bubble, followed by what amount to an economic depression for the working poor.
 - b) Borrowers cannot afford the payments and they are losing their homes, and the unbelievable abundance of foreclosures shows the extent to which any defect in character they may have in common to large numbers of persons.
 - c) Appraisal values were often over-inflated even above the artificially high values provided by the market and appraisers were advised they would not receive further business unless they cooperated.
 - d) Borrower was misled as to what the monthly payments would be a few years into the loans.
 - e) In more extreme cases, borrowers were often offered teaser rates that they qualified for, but which greatly increased within a very short period of time.
 - f) There was so much investment money looking for someone to borrow it that could sign a note during this time, that loans were pushed at people with persuasive and high pressure tactics;
 - g) Borrowers were advised that they could afford much more home then they really could. It appears hard to resist a home that is much nicer

- than one thought they could afford, when someone that appears to be reputable professional assures them they can afford. Optimism and wishful thinking overpower reason.
- h) Loan brokers were pushed to offer loans that were on worse terms than the borrowers could qualify for. Sometimes they received higher commissions, often in secret (Yield Spread Premiums "YSP"), for getting people to take out loans on terms that were less beneficial than a loan that borrowers would have qualified for. And sometimes the only loan products that loan brokers had available to them were those containing unfavorable terms,
- i) Borrowers were advised that they did not have to worry about the payments being unaffordable in the future, because they would be definitely be able to refinance again at that point, because was so solid.
- j) Underwriters were pushed by their supervisors to pass through bad loans, many of which were obviously doomed to fail from the start.
- 52. These undisclosed yield spread premiums ("YSP Tier-I, II and III") are a liability of the participants in the securitization chain, including the loan originator and all participants owed to Homeowner /Borrower. In my opinion, this disclosure does not appear on any of the Homeowner's documents identifying the parties participating in fee splitting or yield spread premiums nor the amounts involved as required and other Federal and State laws. Further, no information appears in debtor's closing documents that would have caused him to inquire about such a premium.
- 53. Questions as to statute of limitation would not be applicable on a number of theories, including, but not limited to: fraud tolls the statute of limitations; until the name of the true creditors, lender, and beneficiary is made known to the borrower, the statute of limitations time frame does not begin to run.
- 54. A MBS Pool Trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" is not really a "TRUST". The Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" thereof has been involved in a joint enterprise with the other participants in the creation of "Financial Product" for sale to the investors, the purchasers of "Mortgage Bonds". The so called pool "Trustee" is more like an administrator (In the present case admitted in Pooling and Servicing Agreement dated 12/01/2006 filed as Exhibit 10 with the 8K report, filed on 02/15/2007 at 3:31pm ET as per Securities and Exchange File # 333-131213-34 and Accession # 1382368-7-12.
- 55. The first loyalty of the pool trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas is not to the investors, but to the parties (Residential Accredit

- Loans, Inc., as depositor, **Residential Funding Company, LLC**, as a seller Sponsor, Residential Funding Company, LLC, as master servicer (the "Master Servicer"), Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC (Securities Underwriters) to which it entered into contract with, the participants. Based on its actions as can be seen over and over again, it seems it is more interested finding ways not to reimburse the investors than find ways to do so.
- 56. In securitization of the loans, the rights of various named mortgagees, assignees and/ or trustees has each been superseded by succeeding conduits including the alleged trustee or officer of the Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) that issued bonds to the investors who at least at some point in time material to the subject transaction with the homeowner in subject transaction was holder of Mortgage Backed Security (MBS).
- 57. The power of said officer or Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas", is limited to only what the certificate holders authorize. These have also admitted in pooling and Servicing Agreement, dated 12/01/2006 under the "Duties of Trustee" (filed as Exhibit 10 with the 8K report, Filed On 2/15/07 3:31pm ET SEC File 333-131213-34

 Accession Number 1382368-7-12
- 58. It cannot be overemphasized that the investors were not signatories to the securitization documents. Only the participants were. The transaction with the investor in which they advanced "LOAN" money for the subject homeowner's product, was consumed most likely before the transaction with the homeowners or was subject to binding agreement between various participants in securitization scheme that pre-dated the transaction with the homeowner.
- 59. Therefore the actual undisclosed Creditor was the investor who advanced the cash and who was known by the securitization participants. And therefore was the only party entitled to claim first lien either legally or under equitable subrogation.
- 60. Accordingly, the only potential party to a foreclosure wherein the purported creditor alleges financial injury and therefore a right to collect the obligation, enforce the note or Mortgage/Deed of Trust is either a party who has actually advanced cash and stands to lose money or an authorized representative who can disclose the principal, provide proof of service or notice and show such express, unequivocal and complete authority to perform all acts and make all decisions without condition.
- 61. In my opinion, any condition placed upon the trustee to act for the MBS Pool Certificate Holders, including the power to enter into any compromise, makes the Trustee, "Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas" something

- less than a Real Party in interest on behalf of the certificate Holders.(Now the Trusts have been dissolved)
- 62. Also, a party must be present that is answerable to the claims, affirmative defenses and counter claims of the homeowners for such causes of action or defenses as might be applicable or they would be blocked potentially by collateral estoppel if the court determined that the foreclosing party was acting within the scope of its agency for principal, the certificate holders.
- 63. In my opinion, as above, and with a reasonable degree of factual and certainty, the disclosed principals in the securitization chain, up to and including the pool trustee (Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas), are neither creditors nor are they authorized agents for the creditors, without proof that they have been granted this authority pursuant to the terms of the securitization documents.
- 64. Otherwise, the participants, including servicers and pool trustees, in my opinion, are interlopers or imposters whose design is to take title to the property they have no right to claim, and to enforce a note which is evidence of an obligation that is not owed to them but rather to another. The details of this information, whether the Special Purpose Vehicle still exists, whether the investor has been paid in full through "THIRD PARTY PAYMENTS" are known only to these securitization participants and therefore undisclosed investors. And the participants have demonstrated time and time again that they are not credible.
- 65. In my opinion the attorneys for the known securitizations participants do not have any authority to represent the creditor, and could not represent them due to the obvious conflict of interest, to wit: the investor upon learning that a substantial amount of their advance of cash was pocketed by the intermediaries and now is left with the mortgage whose nominal value is far below what was paid, and whose fair market value is far below the nominal value, would have potential substantial claims against the securitization participants for fraud, conversion, breach of contract, and other claims.
- 66. Fraud upon investors in relevant to borrowers because it is additional evidence of an overall fraud and conversion scheme against borrowers, because it tends to show motive and intent in the fraud and conversion claims by the borrowers.

- 67. Dismantling of "QSPE" ⁷/ "TRUST" (**RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust**) and consolidation of Bank's Balance sheets demonstrate the falsity of foreclosures. Assignments to a Trust is now gone. Mezzaine Tranches are locked out long before their time, market collapsed due to the trigger events topped, when cumulative losses on the mortgage are higher than the certain level and delinquency event occurred and as a result of credit default swaps payments⁸ were executed, senior tranches were paid and nothing remained for the Mezzaine Tranches, who were already getting nothing as these were locked out, the trust was dissolved;
 - a) No payments are owed to any certificate holders (Tranches) or synthetic security holders (derivatives)
 - b) How can a fabricated document are formalized to trust after dissolution of TRUST.
 - c) Fabricated documents to a Trust that no longer function and for which "TRUSTEE9'S DUTIES" have been TERMINATED
 - d) Currently no distribution to certificate holders, even for the "CURRENTLY PAYING MORTGAGES".
 - e) Payments are not being directed to the original designated trust, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust" in Plaintiff's case, because the trigger event and payments of credit default swaps, insurance proceeds, caused its demise.
 - f) Since Trust has been dissolved and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, is no more Trustee, has acted wrongly in regard to the issue at hand and now acting without any standing, "those seeking equity must do equity"
 - g) The True Sale is from Depositor (Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC.) to the Securities underwriters, which are Citigroup Global Markets Inc, Morgan Stanley & Co and Residential Funding Securities, LLC, and not to the Trustee which is Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas in the present case.

⁷ Maiden Lane Transactions, Maiden Lane LLC, Maiden Lane II LLC, Maiden Lane III LLC, Federal Reserve Statistical Release. Federal Reserve-Bank of New York August 10, 2010. http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h41/Current/, "SIGTARP Report 10-003 - Factors Affecting Efforts to Limit Payments

⁸ Maiden Lane III LLC (a Special Purpose Vehicle consolidated by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York) (the "LLC") is a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on October 14, 2008 to acquire Asset-Backed Security Collateralized Debt Obligations ("ABS CDOs") from certain third-party counterparties(Banks) of AIG Financial Products Corp. ("AIGFP"). In connection with the acquisitions, the third-party counter parties (Banks) agreed to terminate their related credit derivative contracts with AIGFP.

⁹ Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas et al

- 68. Borrower's obligation, from prior to its inception;
 - a. Was destined for a transition to a Mortgage Backed Security. This was never disclosed, to borrower.
 - b. It was never conveyed that the obligation was going to be converted to bond and forever separated from the security (Title) that borrower; **Ms. Caren J. Wilson** gave as collateral.
 - c. It was never disclosed that future assignments and sales would not be recorded in the Culpeper County Lands Record.
 - d. It was never disclosed that the lending institution (Home Coming Financial LLC) had been involved in this practice for several years prior to borrower's signing of the note and that all the actors were already in place. Prospectus Supplement filed with the SEC as per, dated 12/06/2006 ("ProS") filed with the SEC, file # Filed On 01/29/2007 at 4:37pm ET, SEC File 333-131213-34, Accession Number 891092-7-238) and borrower Ms. Caren J. Wilson signed the settlement papers on 12/13/2006.
 - e. It is quite possible, given the number and frequency of the MBS offerings by Home Coming Financial LLC, that this loan was a "table funded loan", where the nominal lender, Home Coming Financial LLC, in this case never even put up any funds and the loan was prefunded by the bond offering. (Money was aggregated first) This "prefunding" being something that is addressed in the Prospectus issued by Depositor, Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC.
- 69. Based on the fact that the obligation was sold, on 01/23/2006 (11 months before) into the **RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust**, according to SEC filings, and prior to Plantiff's first scheduled loan payment due on 02/01/2007 (S-3 Registration Form filed by Residential Accredit Loans with Securities and Exchange Commission as per SEC file # 333-131213 on 01/23/2006 and declared effective on 03/03/2006, of Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, RALI Series 2007-QS1)
 - a. It is easy to surmise the intent was in-place prior to closing of the obligation.
 - b. Given the sequence with which borrower, Ms. Caren J. Wilson's obligation was transferred, by the various actors involved in the bond offering of the, "RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust", it is easy to apply the Step-transaction doctrine to see that this was the intent from the very beginning and should have been disclosed, to Ms. Caren J. Wilson when she signed the documents at closing;
 - c. Step-transaction doctrine is a principle applicable to Taxation laws. According to this principle effect should be given to the substance, rather than the form, of a transaction, by ignoring for tax purposes steps of an integrated transaction that when taken separately are without substance. In short, the tax

- liability should be determined by viewing the transaction as a whole, disregarding one or more non substantive, intervening transactions taken to achieve the final result.
- d. This doctrine expresses the familiar principle that in applying the income tax laws, the substance rather than the form of the transaction is controlling. The U.S. Supreme Court has expressly sanctioned the step transaction doctrine in many cases, noting that interrelated yet formally distinct steps in an integrated transaction may not be considered independently of the overall transaction.
- e. Courts generally enunciate three basic tests that define the criteria upon which application of the step transaction doctrine applies;
 - a) The interdependence test,
 - b) The end result test and the binding commitment test.
 - c) The interdependence test requires an inquiry as to whether the steps were so interdependent that the legal relations created by one transaction would have been fruitless without a completion of the series. The end result test examines whether it appears that separate transactions were really component parts of a single transaction intended from the outset to be taken for the purpose of reaching the ultimate result. The binding commitment test examines whether there was a binding commitment to undertake the later step in a series of transactions. [Falconwood Corp. v. United States, 422 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2005)]
- 70. Because of the IRS rules, regulations and Taxation laws relating to REMIC's it is a necessity on the part of the various actors in the securitization process that there must be a de-recognition of the assets (obligation) and thus a TRUE sale of the obligation. This is also a requirement of General Acceptable Accounting Practices (GAAP) as well as FASB Statement No.140.
- 71. The questions that beg to be asked are; 1) Since the loan with the earliest payment to the trust was months prior to borrower Ms. Caren J. Wilson's Mortgage, why wasn't it revealed to borrower on 12/13/2006, at closing that the obligation was intended to be put in a MBS? Was there fraud committed at the closing by this failure to disclose?
 - i. That fraud was perpetrated from the very beginning.
 - ii. There was NO disclosure as to the intent of Home Coming Financial LLC and Residential Funding Company, LLC (seller and sponsor) to sell the loan and assign the Mortgage to Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC. (A bankruptcy remote shell company), sold the loan and assigned the Mortgage to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (trustee). The trustee then repackaged several thousand loans together and sold them as a BOND offering to

- Citigroup Global Markets In, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC (Securities Underwriters).
- iii. When this was done the obligation (note) was separated from the security (Mortgage/Deed) and thus unperfected.
- iv. Citigroup Global Markets In, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC (Securities Underwriters), then made the offering of sale of the "NO RECOURSE" bond available to the market place.
- v. The bonds are exchanged with an underwriter (Citigroup Global Markets In, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, and LLC) for cash. The underwriter then sells the securities to investors, through the Dealers and Agents for cash."
- vi. Only the subordinate tranches were sold first while the "Underwriter" kept most of the senior pass through securities.
- vii. The "holding" of the note STOPS with the Depositor (Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC)
- viii. Only a "pool" of cash rights is "sold" in the securitization process. At the very least, the "Holder" theory would be with the TRANCHE owners which are, primarily, the security underwriter (Citigroup Global Markets In, Morgan Stanley & Co, Residential Funding Securities, LLC)
 - ix. At that point it was sold to several entities which Residential Accredit Loans, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC, was required to disclose to the SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission). These investors, by all rights of logic, would now be the "Holder in Due Course" of the obligation minus of course the security due to the separation committed by the actors in the PSA.
 - x. Harm has been committed on the Title to Plaintiffs property in that it has been "clouded" by the actions of these actors, they have failed to maintain a "PERFECTED LIEN", and by the very fact of the methodology of the PSA they knew from prior to the inception that they were going to bifurcate (separate) the note from the obligation and were going to hide this fact behind a wall of secrecy.
- 72. First the act of closing a loan wherein the lender is not revealed invalidates or destroys the note even though acceptance of the money creates an obligation. The question is to whom that obligation is owed. Second by "securitizing the receivable according to terms far different than the note ever recited, the description contained in the note becomes increasingly remote from a proper description of the obligation, which keeps changing as the receivable, not the note, moves up the securitization chain. Thus the receivable the actual obligation that the note purported to describe keeps changing while the note remains the same and the original note never moves physically by delivery, transfer documents (endorsement, assignment etc.)
- 73. Thus the point is that the note is destroyed by operation of law.
- 74. The formation of the Maiden Lane LLCs in 2008 occurred during a time of severe economic distress in the United States. The sharp deterioration in

- the U.S. housing market in 2007, led to a loss of confidence in the value of mortgage-related products and in the financial institutions with exposures to these products. The ensuing funding pressures on a range of financial institutions and strained liquidity conditions across the financial system led the Federal Reserve to take a series of unprecedented policy actions to contain the broader risks the financial crisis posed to the economy. Among these actions, the Federal Reserve Board authorized the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (New York Fed) to form three limited liability companies under **Section 13(3) of the Federal Reserve Act** to facilitate lending in support of specific institutions.
- 75. **Maiden Lane I LLC**: **Purpose:** ML LLC was created to facilitate the merger of JP Morgan Chase & Co. (JPMC) and Bear Stearns Companies, Inc. (Bear Stearns) by purchasing approximately \$30 billion in assets from the mortgage desk at Bear Stearns. The New York Fed lent ML LLC approximately \$28.82 billion.
- 76. Maiden Lane II, LLC: Purpose: ML II LLC was created to alleviate capital and liquidity pressures on American International Group Inc. (AIG) stemming from its securities lending program by purchasing \$20.5 billion in residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) from several of AIG's U.S. insurance subsidiaries. The New York Fed lent ML II LLC approximately \$19.5 billion
- 77. **Maiden Lane III, LLC: Purpose:** ML III LLC was created to alleviate capital and liquidity pressures on American International Group Inc. (AIG) stemming from credit default swap contracts by purchasing \$29.3 billion in multi-sector collateralized debt obligations from certain counterparties of AIG Financial Products Corp. (AIGFP), enabling AIGFP to terminate the associated CDS. The New York Fed lent ML III LLC approximately \$24.3 billion.
- 78. The U.S. Treasury is reported to own \$4.6 TRILLION in mortgage bonds, which would make it the creditor in millions of homes that; (a) have already been "foreclosed"
 - (b) Are in "foreclosure" or
 - (c) Going to be foreclosed. Yet not once in any court action is the U.S. Treasury named as the creditor or having any interest in any mortgage. They paid 100 cents on the dollar to save the big powers, Deutsche Bank et al and many more on Wall Street (ignoring the smaller players who had played fair and square) and now they are going to test the market by starting to sell these mortgage bonds back into the marketplace.

- 79. Why the government's programs have been so anemic in confronting the fraudulent, illicit and immoral behavior of Deutsche Bank et al and many more on Wall Street and the pretender lenders out there foreclosing on homes they never financed, you don't have to look any further than the \$4.6 TRILLION that the government says it is holding in mortgage-backed bonds that are backed by fatally defective and fraudulent mortgages and notes.
- 80. If the government were to tell the truth the way should be done, then the government would have to admit that
 - (a) Under the best case scenario they spent 100 cents when the speculative value was only 2-3 cents and
 - (b) There is something fundamentally wrong with the mortgage backed bonds and the underlying fatally defective, fraudulent mortgages and notes. THAT WOULD AMOUNT TO ADMISSION THAT THE TAXPAYERS GIFTED \$4.6 TRILLION TO WALL STREET.
- 81. To put this into perspective: 7 million homes have been fraudulently sold at auction on credit bids submitted by non-creditors. Not one penny was paid at these auctions or any money before that because the bidder never lent any money nor did they purchase the receivable. The bidder was paid as a stand-in for the undisclosed and potentially unknowable creditor just like the "loan originator" was paid to stand in as the lender.
- 82. Applying the \$4.6 TRILLION from the U.S. Treasury to cover losses to investors and homeowners caused by fraudulent appraisals, fraudulent ratings, and deceptive lending practices, (instead of giving the money to Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas et al and many more on Wall Street) would have allowed an average of \$657,142 to be applied on each so-called mortgage transaction providing more than enough to provide substantial relief to investors, and correcting the bogus loans to fair market value levels, thus leaving the investors where they intended to be and the homeowners where they intended to be. Oops!
- 83. U.S. Treasury maintains the illusion of authenticity of the mortgage bonds and the mortgages, obscures the identity of creditors in foreclosures, and continues to indirectly prop up balance sheets of mega institutions on Wall Street. The true value of the group is not as reported but more like a negative figure. Let it fall, and do what is right for investors and homeowners and the economy is largely fixed. Continue with current policy and our credibility in world markets will continue to erode. I'm not the only one who figured this out. Central Bankers and world economists understand this perfectly well.

| 84. | Further affian | it saith no | ot | | | |
|------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|
| This | concludes this | Sworn, D | eclaration | made unde | er penalty of p | erjury" on |
| this | day <i>0157</i> | , of | JULY. | 201 | 11.5 | |

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 37 of 74



M. Nawaz Raja

Mortgage Forensic Auditor 42907 Parkbrooke Court Broadlands Virginia 20148

(540) 687-0004

therajafamily@gmail.com

State of VIRGINIA

County of LOUDOUN

Acknowledged, subscribed and sworn to before me this Olday of Very 2011

Notary Registration Number: アロリン295

Notary Public:

My Commission Expires: $\frac{1/31/26014}{}$

SEAL

JOSEPH LINDSEY STARK

Notary Public

Commonwealth of Virginia

7042295

My Commission Expires Jan 31, 2014

070001263

Homecomings Financial Return To:

...

One Meridian crossing, Ste. 100

Minneapolis MN 55423

Homecomings Financial

Charlotte, NC 28211

2101 Rexford, Suite 250W

Loan Number: .047-175475-4

Tax Map Reference #:

RPC/Parcel ID #:

41-A1-4-B-7 6

After Recording Please Return To:

KG Title, Inc.

10308B Baltimore National Pike

Ellicott City, MD 21042

(410) 465-9200

[Space Above This Line For Recording Data] DEED OF TRUST

MIN 100062604717547543

The following information, as further defined below, is provided in accordance with Virginia law:

This Deed of Trust is given by CAREN J WILSON

Prepared By:

Borrower (trustor), to Samuel I. White, PC, a Corp. Chartered in the Commonwealth of VA

Trustee, for the benefit of Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. as beneficiary.

DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

lftGiNiA-Single Family-Famile Mee/Freddle N IEVA7770 (09/2005) / 047-175475-4

-6A(VA) (0507)

- EXHIBIT- A

(A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated DECEMBER 13TH, 2006 together with all Riders to this document.

(B) "Borrower" is CAREN J WILSON

"Trustee" is

Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument.

(C) "Lender" is HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC (F/K/A HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL NETWORK, INC.)

Lender is a LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY organized and existing under the laws of DELAWARE

Lender's address is 2101 REXFORD, SUITE 250W

CHARLOTTE, NC 28211

(D) "Trustee" is Samuel I. White, PC, a Corp. Chartered in the Commonwealth of VA

Trustee (whether one or more persons) is a Virginia resident and/or a United States- or Virginia-chartered corporation whose principal office is located in Virginia. Trustee's address is 209 Business Park Drive, Virginia Beach , VA 23462

Trustee (whether one or more persons) is a Virginia resident and/or a United States- or Virginia-chartered corporation whose principal office is located in Virginia. Trustee's address is

- (E) "MERS" is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting solely as a morninee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Instrument. MERS is organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026, tel. (888) 679-MERS.
- (F) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated DECEMBER 13TH, 2006.

 The Note states that Borrower owes Lender TWO HUNDRED THIRTY SIX THOUSAND AND NO/100

 (U.S. \$ 236,000.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than JANUARY 1ST, 2037 . The interest rate stated in the Note is SIX AND THREE FOURTHS

percent (6.7500 %). If this Security Instrument is an adjustable rate mortgage loan, this initial rate is subject to change in accordance with the attached Adjustable Rate Rider.

(G) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."

MFVA7770 (09/2006) / 047-175475-4 -6A(VA) (0507)



Form 3047 1/01

4

| (H) | "Loan" means the debt evidences under the Note, and all sums due | i by the Note, plus interest | , any prepayment | charges and late charges |
|-----|---|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| due | under the Note, and all sums due | under this Security Instrum | ent, plus interest. | |

| | (I) "Ridery" means all Rid | ers to this Security Instrument that a | re executed by Borrower. The following |
|---|------------------------------|--|--|
|) | Riders are to be executed by | Borrower [check box as applicable]: | |
| 1 | Adjustable Rate Rider | Condominium Rider | Second Home Rider |
| | Balloon Rider | Planned Unit Development Rider | r 1-4 Family Rider |
| | ☐ VA Rider | Biweekly Payment Rider | Other(s) specify] |
| | | • | |

- (J) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.
- (K) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.
- (L) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.
- (M) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.

5

- (N) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.
- (O) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.
- (P) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.
- (Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.
- (R) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

MFVA7770 (09/2006) / 047-175475-4



TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the COUNTY [Type of Recording Jurisdiction]

of CULPEPER

[Name of Recording Jurisdiction]:

Legal description attached hereto and made a part hereof



which currently has the address of 211 W CHANDLER ST CULFAPER CULFAPER ("Property Address"):

[City/County], Virginia

[Street]

22701 [Zip Code]

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S.

MFVA7770 (09/2006) / 047-175475-4

-6A(VA) (0507)

Initial Tru

Form 3047 1/01

- 23. Release. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to release this Security Instrument and shall surrender all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall release this Security Instrument. Borrower shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge Borrower a fee for releasing this Security Instrument, but only if the fee is paid to a third party for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.
- 24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.

NOTICE: THE DEBT SECURED HEREBY IS SUBJECT TO CALL IN FULL OR THE TERMS THEREOF BEING MODIFIED IN THE EVENT OF SALE OR CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTY CONVEYED.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

| Witnesses: | CAREN WILSO | (Seal |
|------------|------------------|----------|
| | | -Borrowa |
| | (Seal) -Borrower | -Borrowe |
| | (Seal) | (Seal |
| | (Seal) -Borrower | (Seal) |

247 PAGE

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the COUNTY

or CULFEREN (Remoting Turisdiction)
Legal description attached hereto and made a part hereof

COLUMN CUL PAPAL ("Property Address"):

which currently has the address of

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all casements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the inferest granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for excumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any excumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Rems, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges, Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Rems pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S.

MFVA7770 (09/2006) / 047-175475-4

3047 12 •

- 23. Release. Upon payment of all sums accured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to release this Security Instrument and shall surrender all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall release this Security Instrument. Borrower shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge Borrower a fee for releasing this Security Instrument, but only if the fee is paid to a third party for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.
- 24. Substitute Trustee. Lender, at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.

NOTICE: THE DEBT SECURED HEREBY IS SUBJECT TO CALL IN FULL OR THE TERMS THEREOF BEING MODIFIED IN THE EVENT OF SALE OR CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTY CONVEYED.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

| Witnesses: | h. Belef | | CAREN WILSO | N . | (Scat) |
|------------|----------|---------------------|-------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | (Seal) |
| | | | | dia di Kalendari Manganan di Kalendari Manganan di Kalendari | -Borowe |
| | | (Seal) -Borrower | | | (Scal) |
| | | | | | (for pulper get general set |
| | | -Borrowar | <u></u> | | (Seal) -Borrower |
| <u> </u> | 5. | (Scal) -Borrower | | 7. Ten | (Seal) |
| | | v. | | | |

STATE OF VIRGINIA,

County, se: (ULPLACE

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 35 DA4 of Dace 2006 by CAREN J WILSON

258

My Commission Expires:

2/20/2010

Notary Public

MFVA7770 (09/2006) / 047-175475-4 -6A(VA) (0807)

Page 15 of 15

in this is the same of the sam

Form 3047 1/01

All that certain lot or parcel of land situate in the County of Culpeper, Commonwealth of Virginia, and being more particularly described as follows:

All that certain lot and being on the south side of Chandler Street in the Town of Culpeper, West Fairfax Magisterial District, Culpeper County, Virginia fronting 50' on said street and running back between parallel lines a distance of 102', adjoining the lands of Chilton on the west, Maren and others on the east and McBlfersh on the south, and being shown on a house location survey made by Brian Throssell, C.L.S., dated August 28, 1980, a plat of which is recorded in Deed Book 298, Page 501 in the Clerk's Office of Culpeper County, Virginia.

The property being known as 211 W. Chandler Street, Culpepper, VA 22701.

TAX ID # 41A1-4-E-7

INSTRUMENT #070001263
RECORDED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE OF
CHILPEPER ON
FEBRUARY 12, 2007 AT 83:10PM
JANICE J. CORBIN; CLERK

RECORDED BY: JLW


Id Weakley

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 47 of 74

File No. MTR-1179 Page #9


Subject Interior Photo Page

| Borrower/Client | CAREN WILSON | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Property Address | 211 W Chandler St | | | |
| City | CULPEPER | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zip Code 22701-3234 |
| Lender | SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | | | |



Subject Interior

211 W Chandler St Sales Price N/A Gross Living Area 1,872 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms 1.5 AVERAGE TYPICAL OF NEIGH. Location View Site 6,098 SF Quality SIDING/GD 62 YEARS Age



Subject interior



Subject Interior

File No. MTR-1179 Page #8


Subject Photo Page

| Borrower/Client | CAREN WILSON | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Property Address | 211 W Chandler St | | | |
| City | CULPEPER | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zip Code 22701-3234 |
| Lender | SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | | | |



Subject Front

211 W Chandler St
Sales Price N/A
Gross Living Area 1,872
Total Rooms 7
Total Bedrooms 4
Total Betrooms 1.5
Location AVERAGE
View TYPICAL OF NEIGH.
Site 6,096 SF
Quality SIDING/GD
Age 62 YEARS



Subject Rear




Subject Street

File No. MTR-1179 Page #13

Location Map

| Borrower/Client | CAREN WILSON | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Property Address | 211 W Chandler St | | | |
| City | CULPEPER | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zip Code 22701-3234 |
| Lender. | SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | | | |



Madison Taylor Real Estate, Inc.


File No. MTR-1179 Page #11

| Borrower/Client CAREN | | | | File No. MTR-1179 |
|--|--|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Property Address 211 W C City CULPEP | | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zip Code 22701-3234 |
| | 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | COURT COLPERER | Out VA | 39 0008 221 01-020-7 |
| | D REPORT IDENTIFIC | ATION | | |
| This Appraisal Report is | one of the following types: | | | |
| Self Contained | | andards Rule 2-2(a), persuant to the Scope | of Work, as discl | osed elsewhere in this report.) |
| Summary | | andards Rule 2-2(b) , persuant to the Scope | | |
| Restricted Use | | andards Rule 2-2(c) , persuant to the Scope | | |
| | restricted to the stated intended u | se by the specified client or intended user.) | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | |
| Comments on S | Standards Rule 2-3 | | | |
| The statements of first conta | ined in this second on two and second | | | . \$ |
| · The reported analyses, opini analyses, opinions, and cond | lusions. | the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and | | |
| in the no (or the specified) print in involved. | resent or prospective interest in the prope | erty that is the subject of this report and no (or the sp | ecmea) personar um | erest with respect to the parties |
| · I have no bias with respect to | | port or the parties involved with this assignment. | | |
| | nment was not contingent upon developing | g or reporting predetermined results. On the development or reporting of a predetermined v | raine or disention in s | raine that favore the cause |
| of the client, the amount of the | value opinion, the attainment of a stipulai | ted result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event d | irectly related to the | intended use of this appraisal. |
| · My analyses, opinions and c | onclusions were developed and this repor | t has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform | | |
| | personal inspection of the property that is real property appraisal assistance to the p | the subject of this report. erson signing this certification. (If there are exception | ns, the name of each | individual providing significant |
| | unce is stated elsewhere in this report.) | | | |
| L | · | | | |
| | | | | |
| Commente on A | Appraisal and Report | Identification | | |
| | | and any State mandated requiremen | ts: | |
| | • | RE. THIS METHOD OF SIGNING REPOR | | APPROVED BY USPAP |
| SMT-8. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| ļ | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| - | | | | |
| | | | | |
| } | | | | |
| | | | | - |
| | | | | |
| APPRAISER: | | SUPERVISORY APPRA | ISER (only if | required): |
| Signature: | Tu Shu | Signature: Goidla | in Leyla_ | |
| Name: MATTHEY SHUE | | Name: APRIL TAYLOR | | |
| Date Signed: November 0: State Certification #1 | 5, 2006 | Date Signed: November Of State Certification #: | 5, 2008 | |
| or State License #: 4001009 | 870 | or State License #: 4001011 | 046 | |
| State: VA | | State: VA | | |
| Expiration Date of Certification of | or License: 7/31/2008 | Expiration Date of Certification of | | |
| Effective Date of Appraisal: | 10/31/2006 | Supervisory Appraiser inspectio Did Not Exterior-o | | y: Interior and Exterior |
| | | | | |

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 51 of 7 14 Pg 51 of 74 File No. MTR-11791 Page #12

Building Sketch

| Borrower/Client | CAREN WILSON | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|---------------------|
| Property Address | 211 W Chandler St | | | |
| City | CULPEPER | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zip Code 22701-3234 |
| Lender | SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | | | |



12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 52 of 74

Comparable Photo Page

| Borrower/Client | CAREN WILSON | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------------|
| Property Address | 211 W Chendler St | | | |
| City | CULPEPER | County CULPEPER | State VA | Zb Code 22701-3234 |
| Lender | SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. | | | |



Comparable 1

916 NORTH MAIN ST Prox. to Subject 0.91 miles NE Sales Price 255,000 Gross Living Area 1,233 Total Rooms **Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms** AVERAGE Location TYPICAL 9.147 SF SIDING/GD 56 YEARS



Comparable 2

2318 ORANGE RD Prox. to Subject Sales Price 300,000 Gross Living Area 2,014 **Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms AVERAGE** Location. TYPICAL 16,988 SF SIDING/GD 16 YEARS



Comparable 3

120 SYCAMORE ST Prox. to Subject 0.84 miles NE Sales Price 240,000 Gross Living Area 1,349 Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location AVERAGE TYPICAL 12,221SF SIDING/GD 66 YEARS

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 PR 53 of 74

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File# MTR-1179

- 21. The lender/client may disclose or distribute this appraisal report to: the borrower; another lender at the request of the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; mortgage insurers; government sponsored enterprises; other secondary market participants; data collection or reporting services; professional appraisal organizations; any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States; and any state, the District of Columbia, or other jurisdictions; without having to obtain the appraiser's or supervisory appraiser's (if applicable) consent. Such consent must be obtained before this appraisal report may be disclosed or distributed to any other party (including, but not limited to, the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media).
- 22. I am aware that any disclosure or distribution of this appraisal report by me or the lender/client may be subject to certain laws and regulations. Further, I am also subject to the provisions of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that pertain to disclosure or distribution by me.
- 23. The borrower, another lender at the request of the borrower, the mortgagee or its successors and assigns, mortgage insurers, government sponsored enterprises, and other secondary market participants may rely on this appraisal report as part of any mortgage finance transaction that involves any one or more of these parties.
- 24. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.
- 25. Any intentional or negligent misrepresentation(s) contained in this appraisal report may result in civil liability and/or criminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or imprisonment or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001, et seq., or similar state laws.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Supervisory Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I directly supervised the appraiser for this appraisal assignment, have read the appraisal report, and agree with the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 2. I accept full responsibility for the contents of this appraisal report including, but not limited to, the appraiser's analysis, opinions, statements, conclusions, and the appraiser's certification.
- 3. The appraiser identified in this appraisal report is either a sub-contractor or an employee of the supervisory appraiser (or the appraisal firm), is qualified to perform this appraisal, and is acceptable to perform this appraisal under the applicable state law.
- 4. This appraisal report compiles with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 5. If this appraisal report was transmitted as an "electronic record" containing my "electronic signature," as those terms are defined in applicable federal and/or state laws (excluding audio and video recordings), or a facsimile transmission of this appraisal report containing a copy or representation of my signature, the appraisal report shall be as effective, enforceable and valid as if a paper version of this appraisal report were delivered containing my original hand written signature.

| APPRAISER AND TISH DE APPRAISE TRAINEE Signature Name MATTHEW SHUE Company Name MADISON TAYLOR REAL ESTATE INC. Company Address P.O. BOX 868, GAINESVILLE, VA 20181 | SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (ONLY IF REQUIRED) Signature |
|---|--|
| Telephone Number 703-754-1140 Email Address MYHOMEWARRANTY@AOL.COM Date of Signature and Report November 05, 2006 Effective Date of Appraisal 10/31/2006 State Certification # or State License # 4001009670 or Other (describe) State # | Telephone Number 703-754-1140 Email Address MYHOMEWARRANTY®AOL.COM Date of Signature November 05, 2006 State Certification # or State License # 4001011048 State VA Expiration Date of Certification or License 5/31/2006 |
| State VA Expiration Date of Certification or License 7/31/2008 ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED 211 W Chandler St CULPEPER, VA 22701-3234 APPRAISED VALUE OF SUBJECT PROPERTY \$ 280,000 LENDER/CLIENT Name JEFFREY RUSSELL Company Name SERVICE 1ST MORTGAGE INC. Company Address 1415 MADISON PARK DR, GLEN BURNIE, MC 21060 Email Address JEFFREY-RUSSELL@COMCAST.NET | SUBJECT PROPERTY ☐ Did not inspect subject property ☐ Did inspect exterior of subject property from street ☐ Date of Inspection ☐ Did inspect interior and exterior of subject property ☐ Date of Inspection 10/30/2006 COMPARABLE SALES ☐ Did not inspect exterior of comparable sales from street ☐ Did inspect exterior of comparable sales from street ☐ Date of Inspection 10/30/2006 |

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

File No. MTR-1179! Page #6

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

Flo# MTR-1179

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have, at a minimum, developed and reported this appraisal in accordance with the scope of work requirements stated in this appraisal report.
- 2. I performed a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property. I reported the condition of the improvements in factual, specific terms. I identified and reported the physical deficiencies that could affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property.
- 3. I performed this appraisal in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place at the time this appraisal report was prepared.
- 4. I developed my opinion of the market value of the real property that is the subject of this report based on the sales comparison approach to value. I have adequate comparable market data to develop a reliable sales comparison approach for this appraisal assignment. I further certify that I considered the cost and income approaches to value but did not develop them, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 5. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on any current agreement for sale for the subject property in the twelve months prior to the effective date of this appraisal, and the prior sales of the subject property for a minimum of three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 6. I researched, verified, analyzed, and reported on the prior sales of the comparable sales for a minimum of one year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale, unless otherwise indicated in this report.
- 7. I selected and used comparable sales that are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property.
- 8. I have not used comparable sales that were the result of combining a land sale with the contract purchase price of a home that has been built or will be built on the land.
- I have reported adjustments to the comparable sales that reflect the market's reaction to the differences between the subject property and the comparable sales.
- 10. I verified, from a disinterested source, all information in this report that was provided by parties who have a financial interest in the sale or financing of the subject property.
- 11. I have knowledge and experience in appraising this type of property in this market area.
- 12. I am aware of, and have access to, the necessary and appropriate public and private data sources, such as multiple listing services, tax assessment records, public land records and other such data sources for the area in which the property is located.
- 13. I obtained the information, estimates, and opinions turnished by other parties and expressed in this appraisal report from reliable sources that I believe to be true and correct.
- 14. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value with respect to the subject neighborhood, subject property, and the proximity of the subject property to adverse influences in the development of my opinion of market value. I have noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that I became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. I have considered these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value, and have reported on the effect of the conditions on the value and marketability of the subject property.
- 15. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.
- 16. I stated in this appraisal report my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the assumptions and limiting conditions in this appraisal report.
- 17. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or opinion of market value in this appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, age, market status, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property or on any other basis prohibited by law.
- 18. My employment and/or compensation for performing this appraisal or any future or anticipated appraisals was not conditioned on any agreement or understanding, written or otherwise, that I would report (or present analysis supporting) a predetermined specific value, a predetermined minimum value, a range or direction in value, a value that favors the cause of any party, or the attainment of a specific result or occurrence of a specific subsequent event (such as approval of a pending mortgage loan application).
- 19. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in this appraisal report. If I relied on significant real property appraisal assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of this appraisal or the preparation of this appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed in this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in this appraisal report; therefore, any change made to this appraisal is unauthorized and I will take no responsibility for it.
- 20. I identified the lender/client in this appraisal report who is the individual, organization, or agent for the organization that ordered and will receive this appraisal report.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

File No. MTR-1179 Page #5

File # MTR-1179

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

This report form is designed to report an appraisal of a one-unit property or a one-unit property with an accessory unit; including a unit in a planned unit development (PUD). This report form is not designed to report an appraisal of a manufactured home or a unit in a condominium or cooperative project.

This appraisal report is subject to the following scope of work, intended use, intended user, definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. Modifications, additions, or deletions to the intended use, intended user, definition of market value, or assumptions and limiting conditions are not permitted. The appraiser may expand the scope of work to include any additional research or analysis necessary based on the complexity of this appraisal assignment. Modifications or deletions to the certifications are also not permitted. However, additional certifications that do not constitute material alterations to this appraisal report, such as those required by law or those related to the appraiser's continuing education or membership in an appraisal organization, are permitted.

SCOPE OF WORK: The scope of work for this appraisal is defined by the complexity of this appraisal assignment and the reporting requirements of this appraisal report form, including the following definition of market value, statement of assumptions and limiting conditions, and certifications. The appraiser must, at a minimum: (1) perform a complete visual inspection of the interior and exterior areas of the subject property, (2) inspect the neighborhood, (3) inspect each of the comparable sales from at least the street, (4) research, verify, and analyze data from reliable public and/or private sources, and (5) report his or her analysis, opinions, and conclusions in this appraisal report.

INTENDED USE: The intended use of this appraisal report is for the lender/client to evaluate the property that is the subject of this appraisal for a mortgage finance transaction.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the lender/client.

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he or she considers his or her own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U. S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgment.

STATEMENT OF ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification in this report is subject to the following assumptions and limiting conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it, except for information that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing this appraisal. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and will not render any opinions about the title.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in this appraisal report to show the approximate dimensions of the improvements. The sketch is included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in this appraisal report whether any portion of the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand, or as otherwise required by law.
- 5. The appraiser has noted in this appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in this appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent physical deficiencies or adverse conditions of the property (such as, but not limited to, needed repairs, deterioration, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, adverse environmental conditions, etc.) that would make the property less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist.

 Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, this appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 6. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that the completion, repairs, or alterations of the subject property will be performed in a professional manner.

Freddie Mac Form 70 March 2005

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 56 of 74

File No. MTR-1179 Page #4

| Uniform Residenti | al Appraisal Report | File # MTR-1179 | |
|---|--|--|---|
| NONE | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | · |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | - <u>-</u> | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| COSTAPHIAN IN THE | | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and co | iculations. | | |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and or Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods | iculations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS | S DETERMINED BY REC | CENT |
| Provide adequate information for the lander/client to replicate the below cost figures and or Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI | iculations. for estimating site value) <u>SITE VALUE IS</u> HE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I | CENT E. |
| Provide adequate information for the lendegicient to replicate the below cost figures and co Support for the opinion of site value (summary of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS. ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAY AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. | iculations. for estimating site value: SITE VALUE IS REEVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF REETING AREA, THEN LAND VALUE | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I | CENT E. |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW | iculations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS E EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF EKETING AREA, THEN LAND VALUE OPMION OF SITE VALUE | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP | CENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lenderclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of companible land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMMLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. BETIMATED REPODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. | iculations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RIKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.R.@\$ | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP -\$ 90.00\$ | CENT E. RKET DAT/ 75.000 168,480 |
| Provide adequate information for the lenderclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING RECORDS. BY THE MARKET LOTS AND THE MARKET COST NEW SOURCE OF COST GABLE LOCAL BUILDERS, MARKETAL & SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CASHITY TRIPS from cost service GOOD. Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 | iculations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS E EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF EKETING AREA, THEN LAND VALUE OPMION OF SITE VALUE | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP -\$ 90.00 =\$ 50.00 =\$ | CENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 |
| Provide adequate information for the lenderclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of companible land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMMLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. BETIMATED REPODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. | iculations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RIKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.R.@\$ | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP -\$ 90.00\$ | CENT E. RKET DAT/ 75.000 168,480 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAY AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OUDDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CASHITY TRITING from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data 8/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RIGHTING AREA, THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DIVIDLING 1,872 Sq.R. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 = \$ 50,00 = \$ -\$ -\$ | CENT E. RKET DAT/ 75.000 168,480 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Sepport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bullobers, Marshall a SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CARRIEV TRIPS from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2/008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS HE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE DIVELLING BASEMENT 1.006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New I Leas Physical Functional | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 75,000 168,480 50,400 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and of Sepport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARADOR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bulloers, Marshall a sweet, cost outdes, country assess. Casality rating from cost service GOOD. Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, stc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COS APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE | Idulations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS SIE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.R.@\$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.R.@\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Lose Physical Functional Depreciation 16,000 | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ = \$ = \$ External | 75,000 168,480 50,400 218,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Sepport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bullobers, Marshall a SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CARRIEV TRIPS from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2/008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST | Idulations. for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS SIE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.R.@\$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.R.@\$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Lose Physical Functional Depreciation 16,000 | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | 75,000 168,480 50,400 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAI AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bullobra, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUBER, COUNTY ASSESS. CURITY INTING from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross Mying area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. | for estimating site value) for estimating site value) FE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA, THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Punctional Depreciation 16,000 EDepreciation 16,000 TAs-is* Value of Site Improvements | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.I IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ External = \$(| 75,000 168,480 50,400 218,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and of Sepport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARADOR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bullobers, Marshall a sweet, cost outdes, country assess, Custify rating from cost service GOOD. Effective date of cost data. 9/2/008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COS APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and YA only) | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New I Less Physical Copreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Tas-is* Value of Site improvements "As-is* Value of Site improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 198,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAI AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS. Quality nating from cost service. GOOD Effective date of cost data. 2/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COSTAPPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35+/- Year | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Copreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Tas-is* Value of Site Improvements "As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Effect included by Famile Male | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lender/client to replicate the below cost figures and of Seport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARAD/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bullobers, MARSHALL & SWIFT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS, CASILITY TRANSPORTS (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and YA only) 36+/- Year Sciental Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rant Multiplier | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF KETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE DIVELING BASEMENT Total Estimate of Cost-New Leas Physical Functional Depreciation 15,000 Edgerostate Cost of Improvements "As-Is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Effect required by Principle Mine N/A SITE VALUE BY COST APPROACH Effect required by Principle Mine N/A SITE VALUE BY COST APPROACH | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP -\$ 90.00 | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMULAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING LET REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local Bulloses Americal & Swert, cost guides, country Assess, Casility rating from cost service. GOOD Effective date of cost data. SYZOOS Comments on Cost Approach (gross tiving area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS SEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year Statement Monthly Market Rent \$ N/A X Gross Rent Metholer Summers of fiction. | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Copreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Tas-is* Value of Site Improvements "As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Effect included by Famile Male | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP -\$ 90.00 | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKET PROPERTY OF THE MARKET REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHAUL & SWIPT, COST OURDES, COUNTY ASSESS, CASILITY INTRING from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. SYZOOS Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year SECTION OF THE COMMENT OF THE MARKET OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year SECTION OF THE MARKET OF TH | for estimating site value) For estimating site value For estimating site value For estimating site value For estimating site value For estimation For es | S DETERMINED BY REC F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 =\$ 90,00 =\$ 50,00 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKET AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUBES, COUNTY ASSESS. Quality rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 19/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS, THE COSTAPPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPRICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT OUT. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPRICABLE TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPRICABLE TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPRICABLE TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPRICABLE TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICONES APPROACH TO YALE Estimated Remaining Economic (Including support for markets rent and 67M) JINCO PROACH THE MICONES APPROACH TO YALE THE MICONES APPROACH TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO THE MICONES APPROACH TO THE MICONES AP | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SIKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical RuncSonal Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Pas-is* Value of Site Improvements "As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not inquiried by Friends Miss) NA = \$ N/A ME APP, NOT DEVEL OPED DUE TO I | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ - | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable hand sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAINTON PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CARRIEV TRIPTS from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 2/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS, THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year MICROST APPLICABLE TO THE MARKET ON THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER PREDOMINANTLY OWNER OCCUPTED. **PROVIDED PREDOMINANTLY OWNER OCCUPTED.** Is the developer/builder in control of the Homeowners' Association (HOA)? Yes Interested the following information for PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds only if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds only if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the Puds only if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY if the developer/builder is in control of the PUDS ONLY i | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SIKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical RuncSonal Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Pas-is* Value of Site Improvements "As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not inquiried by Friends Miss) NA = \$ N/A ME APP, NOT DEVEL OPED DUE TO I | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ - | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Sepport for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable hand sales or other methods SALES OF SIMILAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETINATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Quality rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Quality rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 2/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living are calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year Submitted Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35+/- Year Submitted Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35+/- Year Submitted Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE MARKET AND THE MARKET SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Monthly Market Rant \$ N/A X Gross Rent Multiplier Submitted Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 35+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE MARKET SUBJECT | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RICETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE DIVELLING DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New I Lose Physical [Functional Depreciation 15,000] Depreciation 15,000 Depreciation 15,000 Beginned Cost of Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements "As-is" Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IE (not required by Francis Nice) N/A = \$ N/A ME APP, NOT DEVEL OPED DUE TO I | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ - | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MAR AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. Quality rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COSTAPPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROJECT SHOPMAN HO INCOME APPROACH OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS SECRIFICATION. HOLD THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH LENDERS REQUEST. PROJECT SHOPMAN HOLD SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH SECTION OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER TH SECTION OF THE SUBJEC | for estimating site value) SITE VALUE IS THE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF SIKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,006 Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical RuncSonal Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Pas-is* Value of Site Improvements "As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH E (not inquiried by Friends Miss) NA = \$ N/A ME APP, NOT DEVEL OPED DUE TO I | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ - | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKET AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHAUL & SWIPT, COST GUIDES, COUNTY ASSESS. Guality rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data syzoos Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+4 Year SECOND REPROACH TO VALUE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Conomic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+4 Year PROVIDED REPROACH TO VALUE OF THE MARKET OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED REPROACH TO VALUE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED REPROACH TO VALUE OF THE MARKET OF THE MAR | for estimating site value) for estimating site value for estimating site value For extending site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELING | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAP 90.00 = \$ 90.00 = \$ 50.00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ - | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable hand sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Cuslify rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Cuslify rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 2/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living are calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS, THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year Survivary of income Approach (including support for market rent and GRIM) INCOME SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LOCAL REMAINS AND ASSISTANCE REMAINS AND ASSIS | for estimating site value) for estimating site value SITE VALUE IS HE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.P. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.P. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.P. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New I Less Physical Runctional Depreciation 16,000 Expreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 TAs-is* Value of Site improvements "As-is* Value of Site improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH Expreciation 18,000 NA = \$ N/A ME APP. NOT DEVEL OPED DUE TO I | S DETERMINED BY REF F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 = \$ 90,00 = \$ 50,00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TI TOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKET AND/OR PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS. CASILITY INTERPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW SOURCE OF COST SALES IN THE MARKET COMMENTS OF COST SALES IN THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HJD and VA only) 36+/- Year SALES OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Monthly Market Rent \$ NA X Gross Rent Multiplier Summerry of Income Approach (Including support for market rent and GRIM) INCOME APPRICACE TO VALUE AND TO SUMMER AND TO SUMMER APPRICACE TO VALUE AND TO SUMMER AND TO SUMMER APPRICACE TO VALUE AND TO SUMMER | for estimating site value) For estimating site value For estimating site value For estimating site value OPINION OF SITE VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DWELLING 1,872 Sq.R. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Functional Depreciation T Less NO St. W. | S DETERMINED BY REF F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 = \$ 90,00 = \$ 50,00 = \$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendegicient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHAUL & SWIFT, COST OURSE, COURTY ASSESS. Guality rating from cost service. GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COSTAPPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year SECONDARY OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BUT | for estimating site value) for estimating site value for estimating site value IE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DIVELLING 1,872 Sq.R. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Functional Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Physical Functional Posperiotated Cost of Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IF (INDICATED | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 =\$ 90,00 =\$ 50,00 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ Indicated Value by incorr LACK OF DATA AS | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendeyclient to replicate the below cost figures and of Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable hand sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN TITOWNHOME LOTS, ETC.) OR LACK OF RECENT SALES IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Cuslify rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. LOCAL BUILDERS, MARSHALL & SWIPT, COST OLUDES, COUNTY ASSESS, Cuslify rating from cost service GOOD Effective date of cost data. 2/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living are calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS, THE COST APPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year Survivary of income Approach (including support for market rent and GRIM) INCOME SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36+/- Year PROVIDED PER THE LOCAL REMAINS AND ASSISTANCE REMAINS AND ASSIS | for estimating site value) for estimating site value for estimating site value IE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DIVELLING 1,872 Sq.R. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Functional Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Physical Functional Posperiotated Cost of Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IF (INDICATED | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 =\$ 90,00 =\$ 50,00 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ Indicated Value by incorr LACK OF DATA AS | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |
| Provide adequate information for the lendegicient to replicate the below cost figures and ci Support for the opinion of site value (summery of comparable land sales or other methods SALES OF SIMBLAR SIZE PARCELS IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING AREA. IN THE MARKETING PUBLIC RECORDS. ESTIMATED REPRODUCTION OR REPLACEMENT COST NEW Source of cost data. Local BUILDERS, MARSHAUL & SWIFT, COST OURSE, COURTY ASSESS. Guality rating from cost service. GOOD Effective date of cost data. 9/2008 Comments on Cost Approach (gross living area calculations, depreciation, etc.) NO FUNCTIONAL OR EXTERNAL OBSOLESCENCE NOTED. THE PRESENT LAND TO VALUE RATIO IS TYPICAL OF THE MARKET AREA AND IS DUE TO POSITIVE LOCATIONAL FACTORS. THE COSTAPPROACH IS NOT FELT APPLICABLE TO THE ASSIGNMENT DUE TO THE AGE OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year SECONDARY OF THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. Estimated Remaining Economic Life (HUD and VA only) 36-1/Year PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BEEN PROVIDED PER THE LENDERS REQUEST. PROVIDED PER THE SUBJECT BUT HAS BUT | for estimating site value) for estimating site value for estimating site value IE EVENT THERE ARE NO SALES OF RKETING AREA. THEN LAND VALUE OPINION OF SITE VALUE DIVELLING 1,872 Sq.R. @ \$ BASEMENT 1,008 Sq.R. @ \$ Garage/Carport Sq.R. @ \$ Total Estimate of Cost-New T Less Physical Functional Depreciation 16,000 Depreciation 16,000 Physical Functional Posperiotated Cost of Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements As-is* Value of Site Improvements INDICATED VALUE BY COST APPROACH IF (INDICATED | S DETERMINED BY RES F SIMILAR PARCELS (I.) IS DERIVED FROM MAF 90,00 =\$ 90,00 =\$ 50,00 =\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ -\$ Indicated Value by incorr LACK OF DATA AS | ZENT E. RKET DAT/ 75,000 188,480 50,400 218,880 15,000) 203,880 |

File No. MTR-1179 Page #3

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | U | niform Re | esidential A | ppraisal P | Report | File# MTR-1179 | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | | the subject neighbori | | | to \$ 399 | | |
| There are 3 comparab | le sales in the subject SUBJECT | | in the past twelve mon | | rice from \$ _240,00 BLE SALE # 2 | O 10 \$ 3 COMPARABL | 00,000 . | |
| Address 211 W Chandler | | 916 NORTH M | BLE SALE # 1 | 2318 ORANGE | ·· | 120 SYCAMORE | | |
| CULPEPER, VA | | CULPEPER, V | | CULPEPER, VA | | CULPEPER, VA | | |
| Proximity to Subject | (A) (100) (100) | 0.91 miles NE | | 0.86 miles S | | 0.84 miles NE | | |
| Sale Price | | | | | | 4-24-1-1-1 | \$ 240,000 | |
| Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area | \$ sq.ft | | | | | \$ 177.91 sq.ft. | | |
| Data Source(s) Verification Source(s) | | MRIS/AGENTA | | MRIS/AGENTA | | MRIS/AGENT/VI | SUAL | |
| VALUE ADJUSTMENTS | DESCRIPTION | CNTY ASSESS DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | CNTY ASSESS DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | DESCRIPTION | +(-) \$ Adjustment | |
| Sales or Financing | 52.00 III 110 II | CONVENTIONAL | | CONVENTIONAL | | CONVENTIONAL | 13771111 | |
| Concessions | | \$9,700 | 1 | \$11,000 | | NONE | | |
| Date of Sale/Time | | SD: 6/2006 | | SD: 5/2006 | | SD: 9/2006 | | |
| Location | AVERAGE | AVERAGE | | AVERAGE | ļ | AVERAGE | | |
| Leasehold/Fee Simple Site | Fee Simple | Fee Simple | 10000 | Fee Simple | 15,000 | Fee Simple | -2,500 | |
| View | 6,098 SF TYPICAL OF NE | 9,147 SF | NO ADJ | 16,988 SF TYPICAL | +5,000 | 12,221SF TYPICAL | -2,500 | |
| Design (Style) | CAPE COD/GD | | <u>, </u> | CAPE COD/GD | | CAPE COD/GD | | |
| Quality of Construction | SIDING/GD | SIDING/GD | · | SIDING/GD | | SIDING/GD | | |
| Actual Age | 62 YEARS | 56 YEARS | | 16 YEARS | -20,000 | 66 YEARS | | |
| Condition | GOOD-AVG | GOOD-AVG | | GOOD-AVG | ļ | GOOD-AVG | | |
| Above Grade | Total Bdrms. Baths | Total Bdrms. Baths | | Total Burms. Baths | I | Total Bdrms. Baths | | |
| Room Count Gross Living Area | 7 4 1.5 1,872 sq.ft. | 7 3 1 1,233 sq.f | +2,000 +22,000 | | -2,000 -5,000 | | -2,000 +18,000 | |
| Basement & Finished | FULL BSMT | T,233 SQ.II FULL BSMT | +22,000 | NO BSMT | | FULL BSMT | T10,000 | |
| Rooms Below Grade | UNFINISHED | RR,HB | -8,000 | | | UNFINISHED | | |
| Functional Utility | AVERAGE | AVERAGE | | AVERAGE | | AVERAGE | | |
| Heating/Cooling | HFA/CAC | HFAW-UNITS | +10,000 | HFA/CAC | | HFA/CAC | | |
| Energy Efficient Items | STANDARD | STANDARD | - | STANDARD | | STANDARD | | |
| A Garage/Carport ✓ Porch/Patio/Deck | NONE PORCH | 1 CARPORT PATIO | | NONE | +4 000 | PORCH | | |
| PREPLACE | 1 FIREPLACE | 1 FIREPLACE | +2,000 | NO FIREPLACE | | NO FIREPLACE | +2,500 | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| MPAR. | | | 4 | | | | | |
| Net Adjustment (Total) | | ⊠+ □- | \$ 25,000 | | \$ -5,500 | | \$ 16,000 | |
| Adjusted Sale Price of Comparables | | Net Adj. 9.8 9 Gross Adj. 18,4 9 | | Net Adj. 1.8 % Gross Adj. 16.2 % | i | Net Adj. 6.7 % Gross Adj. 10.4 % | 256,000 | |
| My research □ did ☑ did r | NTY ASSESS | | ne subject property for the comparable sales for | | | | | |
| Report the results of the research | | rior sale or transfer | history of the subject p | roperty and compara | ble sales (report additi | ional prior sales on pay | pe 3). | |
| ITEM | | BJECT | COMPARABLE S | | OMPARABLE SALE # | | ABLE SALE #3 | |
| Date of Prior Sale/Transfer | 9/2004 | | NO PRIOR TRAN | | RIOR TRANSFEI | NO PRIOR | TRANSFER | |
| Price of Prior Sale/Transfer | \$232,000 | | IN PAST 12 MON | | ST 12 MONTHS | IN PAST 12 | | |
| Data Source(s) Effective Date of Data Source(s) | 8/9/2006 | | CNTY RECORDS 10/29/2006 | | <u>/ RECORDS/MRI</u> 9/2006 | 10/25/2006 | ORDS/MRIS | |
| Analysis of prior sale or transfer | | | | | ERRED IN 9/2004 | | NCREASE IN | |
| VALUE ATTRIBUTED TO AND INTERIOR FEATURE | | CIATION AS W | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Summary of Sales Comparison A AVAILABLE. | oproach ALL CO | MPARABLES A | ARE FROM THE S | UBJECTS MARK | KETING AREA AN | D ARE CONSIDE | RED BEST | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 6, | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Indicated Value by Sales Compari | son Approach \$ 28 | 0,000 | | | | | | |
| Indicated Value by: Sales Com | | | Cost Approach (if de | reloped) \$ 180.78 | 35 Income Apr | roach (if developed) | \$ N/A | |
| INCOME APPROACH NO | T DEVELOPED D | UE OF LACK C | F DATA AS NEIG | HBORHOOD IS | PREDOMINANTL | Y OCCUPIED. CO | ST APPROACE | |
| IS NOT CONSIDERED SU | IPPORT OF VAL | JE. SINCE SUF | FICIENT MARKE | T DATA IS AVAIL | ABLE, THE DIRE | CT SALES COMP | PARISON | |
| APPROACH HAS BEEN F | AVORED AS IT I | S CONSIDEREI | D TO BEST REFLI | ECT ACTION OF | BUYERS/SELLE | RS IN MARKET | | |
| This appraisal is made 🔀 "as is", 🔲 subject to completion per plans and specifications on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the improvements have been completed, or laterations on the basis of a hypothetical condition that the repairs or alterations have been completed, or 🖂 subject to the | | | | | | | | |
| APPROACH HAS BEEN F This appraisal is made \(\) "as it completed, \(\) subject to the fo following required inspection base | ed on the extraordinal | y assumption that t | he condition or deficie | ncy does not require | na on anerations dave alteration or repair: | uces completed, of t | subject to the | |
| Based on a complete visual in | appection of the int | erior and exterior | areas of the subject | property, defined | scope of work, stat | ement of assumption | ns and limiting | |
| Based on a complete visual in conditions, and appraiser's co \$ 280,000 , as of | ertification, my (out 10/31/2006 |) opinion of the s which is t | narket value, as defi he date of inanectio | ned, of the real pr | operty that is the seed of this appro | ubject of this report | is | |

Madison Taylor Real Estate, Inc.

File No. MTR-1179 Page #2

Freddle Mac Form 70 March 2005

Fannie Mae Form 1004 Merch 2005



Process Loans, Not Paperwork***

1 record matched your search:

MIN: 1000626-0471754754-3 Note Date: 12/13/2006

MIN Status: Inactive

Servicer: GMAC Mortgage, LLC

Phone: (800) 766-4622

Waterloo, IA

Investor: This investor has chosen not to display their information. For

assistance, please contact the servicer.

Return to Search

For more information about MERS please go to www.mersinc.org Copyright© 2006 by MERSCORP, Inc.

- EXHIBIT-D.



Process Loans, Not Paperwork***

1 record matched your search:

MIN: 1000626-0471754770-9 Note Date: 12/13/2006

MIN Status: Active

Servicer: GMAC Mortgage, LLC

Phone: (800) 766-4622

Waterloo, IA

Investor: This investor has chosen not to display their information. For

assistance, please contact the servicer.

Return to Search

For more information about MERS please go to www.mersinc.org Copyright@ 2006 by MERSCORP, Inc.

- EXHIBIT-C -

TORREST, 147,124,12020-mg Doc Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E: Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 61 of 74

10. UNIFORM SECURED NOTE

This Note is a uniform instrument with limited variations in some jurisdictions. In addition to the protections given to the Note Holder under this Note, a Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument"), dated the same date as this Note, protects the Note Holder from possible losses which might result if I do not keep the promises which I make in this Note. That Security Instrument describes how and under what conditions I may be required to make immediate payment in full of all amounts I owe under this Note. Some of those conditions are described as follows:

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

WITNESS THE HAND(S) AND SEAL(S) OF THE UNDERSIGNED.

| (Mu | | (Seal) | | | | 1946 | | (Seal) |
|---|--|---|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------|
| TAREN J WILSON | | -Borrower | | | | | | -Borrower |
| | . T. A., T | e to see a see a | , j. (1844) | v e e græde | | n kiri | | |
| | | (Seal) | | | | 10 | 3 (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8) (8 | (Seal) |
| | , | Borrower | . 1 - 1 - 41 | | | production of | | -Borrower |
| | | (Seal) | | . V | i Shiringa m | in at it Literalie | - 1 - 1 - 1 | (Scal) |
| | | -Borrower | | | | To grade | | -Borrower |
| | | | | | | | | 11 AN. |
| | <u> </u> | (Seal) | | | | | | (Seal) |
| | ************************************** | -Borrower | | | | . e | | -Borrower |
| • | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Sign Or | iginal Only] |
| This is to certify that this is the I on the Property located in CULPER | PPER | | ed by a Dec | | dared Di Virginia. | ecember | 13TH, | 2006 . |
| My Commission Expires: 428/ | 2010 | ia di Senta (asi ki) Nasata (asi ki) | _9 | July_ | th. B | enly | | e . V |
| | | | Noury Publ | • | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

PAY TO THE ORDER OF Deutscho Bank Trust Company Americas as Trustee WITHOUT RECOURSE Residential Funding Company, LLC Judy Fabel. Vice President

age of some state of the A CONTRACT OF THE CONTRACT OF

THE PARTY OF MARKET STATES

AND THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY

Pay to the Order of RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC

Debra Eshelman Assistant Secretary Homecomings Financial LLC A Delaware Corporation

Without Recourse

Exhibit E: Pg 62 of 74

5. LOAN CHARGES

If a law, which applies to this loan and which sets maximum loan charges, is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with this loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from me which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to rise. The Note Holder may choose to make this refund by reducing the Principal I owe under this Note or by making a direct payment to me. If a refund reduces Principal, the reduction will be created as a partial Prepayment

Borrower's failure to pay as required

(A) Late Charge for Overdue Phymeats

If the Note Holder has not received the full amount of any monthly payment by the end of 1.5

after the date it is due, I will pay a late charge to the Note Holder. The amount of the charge will be 5.00
my overdue payment of principal and interest. I will pay this late charge promptly but only once on each late payment. calendar days % of

(B) Default
(If I do not pay the full amount of each manshly payment on the date it is due, I will be in default.

(C) Notice of Default,

If I am is default, the Note Holder may send me a written notice telling me that if I do not pay the overdue amount by a certain date, the Note Holder may require me to pay immediately the full amount of Principal which has not been paid and all the interest that I owe on that amount. That date must be at least 30 days after the date on which the notice is mailed to me or delivered by other means.

(D) No Waiver By Note Heider

Even If, at a time when I am in default, the Note Holder does not require me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will still have the right to do so if I am in default at a later time.

(E) Payment of Note Holder's Costs and Expenses

If the Note Holder has required me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will have the right to be paid back by the for all of its costs and expenses in enforcing this Note to the extent not prohibited by applicable law. Those expenses include, for example, reasonable attorneys' fees.

7. GIVING OF NOTICES

Unless applicable law requires a different method, any notice that crust be given to me under this Note will be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to me at the Property Address above or at a different address if I give the Note Holder a notice of my different address.

Any notice that must be given to the Note Holder under this Note will be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to the Note Holder at the address stated in Section 3(A) above or at a different address if I am given a notice of that

8. OBLIGATIONS OF PERSONS UNDER THIS NOTE

If more than one person signs this Note, each person is fully and personally obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note, including the promise to pay the full amount owed. Any person who is a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note is also obligated to do these things. Any person who takes over these obligations, including the obligations of a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note, is also obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note. The Note Holder may enforce its rights under this Note against each person individually or against all of us together. This means that any one of us may be required to pay all of the amounts owed under this Note.

9. WAIVERS

I and any other person who has obligations under this Note whive the rights of Presentment and Notice of Dictionor and waive the benefit of the homestead exemption as to the Property described in the Security Instrument (as defined below). "Presentment" means the right to require the Note Holder to demand payment of amounts due. "Notice of Dictionor" means the right to require the Note Holder to give notice to other persons that amounts due have not been paid.

NOTE

DECEMBER 13TH, 2006

ELLICOTT CITY

MARYLAND

(Date)

[City]

(Scate)

211 W CHANDLER ST, CULPEPPER, VA 22701

[Property Address]

1. BORROWER'S PROMISE TO PAY

In return for a loan that I have received, I promise to pay U.S. \$ 236,000.00 (this amount is called "Principal"), plus interest, to the order of the Lender. The Lender is HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC (F/K/A HOMECOMINGS PINANCIAL NETWORK, INC.)

I will make all payments under this Note in the form of cash, check or money order.

I understand that the Lender may transfer this Note. The Lender or anyone who takes this Note by transfer and who is entitled to receive payments under this Note is called the "Note Holder."

2. INTEREST

Interest will be charged on unpaid principal until the full amount of Principal has been paid. I will pay interest at a yearly rate of 6.7500 %.

The interest rate required by this Section 2 is the rate I will pay both before and after any default described in Section 6(B) of this Note.

3. PAYMENTS

(A) Time and Place of Payments

I will pay principal and interest by making a payment every month.

I will make my monthly payment on the FIRST day of each month beginning on FEBRUARY 1ST, 2007. I will make these payments every month until I have paid all of the principal and interest and any other charges described below that I may owe under this Note. Each monthly payment will be applied as of its scheduled due date and will be applied to interest before Principal. If, on JANUARY 1ST, 2037, I still owe amounts under this Note, I will pay those amounts in full on that date, which is called the "Maturity Date."

I will make my monthly payments at 2101 REXFORD, SUITE 250W, CHARLOTTE, NC 28211 or at a different place if required by the Note Holder.

(B) Amount of Monthly Payments

My monthly payment will be in the amount of U.S. \$

1,530.69

4. BORROWER'S RIGHT TO PREPAY

I have the right to make payments of Principal at any time before they are due. A payment of Principal only is known as a "Prepayment." When I make a Prepayment, I will tell the Note Holder in writing that I am doing so. I may not designate a payment as a Prepayment if I have not made all the monthly payments due under the Note.

I may make a full Prepayment or partial Prepayments without paying a Prepayment charge. The Note Holder will use my Prepayments to reduce the amount of Principal that I owe under this Note. However, the Note Holder may apply my Prepayment to the accrued and unpaid interest on the Prepayment amount, before applying my Prepayment to reduce the Principal amount of the Note. If I make a partial Prepayment, there will be no changes in the due date or in the amount of my monthly payment unless the Note Holder agrees in writing to those changes.

VIRGINIA FIXED RATE NOTE-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddle Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT

-5N(VA) 10005) Form 3247 1/01
VMP MORTGAGE FORMS - (800)521-7281

MFVA8054 - (08/2008) / 047-175475-

-EXHIBIT-B

All that certain lot or parcel of land situate in the County of Culpeper, Commonwealth of Virginia, and being more particularly described as follows:

All that certain lot and being on the south side of Chandler Street in the Town of Culpeper, West Fairfax Magisterial District, Culpeper County, Virginia fronting 50' on said street and running back between parallel lines a distance of 102', adjoining the lands of Chilton on the west, Maren and others on the east and McElfersh on the south, and being shown on a house location survey made by Brian Throssell, C.L.S., dated August 28, 1980, a plat of which is recorded in Deed Book 298, Page 501 in the Clerk's Office of Culpeper County, Virginia.

. 6

The property being known as 211 W. Chandler Street, Culpepper, VA 22701.

TAX ID # 41A1-4-E-7

INSTRUMENT #570001264
PECCFIED IN THE CLEFK'S CFFICE CF CILLPEPER ON:
FEIRMAR' 12, 2007 AT 33:13FM
JANICE J. COPBIN: CLEP!

PECORDEL DY: JLK

Unless otherwise agreed in writing, all insurance proceeds shall be applied to the restoration or repair of the Property or to the Secured Debt, whether or not then due, at Lender's option. Any application of proceeds to principal shall not extend or postpone the due date of the scheduled payment nor change the amount of any payment. Any excess will be paid to the Grantor. If the Property is acquired by Lender, Grantor's right to any insurance policies and proceeds resulting from damage to the Property before the acquisition shall pass to Lender to the extent of the Secured Debt immediately before the

damage to the Property before the acquisition shall pass to Lender to the extent of the Secured Debt Indianatary Service acquisition.

ESCROW FOR TAXES AND INSURANCE. Unless otherwise provided in a separate agreement, Grantor will not be required to pay to Lender funds for taxes and insurance in escrow.

FINANCIAL REPORTS AND ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS. Grantor will provide to Lender upon request, any financial statement or information Lender may deem reasonably necessary. Grantor agrees to sign, deliver, and file any additional documents or certifications that Lender may consider necessary to perfect, continue, and preserve Grantor's obligations under this Security Instrument and Lender's lien status on the Property.

JOINT AND INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY; CO-SIGNERS; SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS BOUND. All duties under this Security Instrument are joint and individual. If Grantor signs this Security Instrument but does not sign an evidence of debt, Grantor does so only to mortgage Grantor's interest in the Property to secure payment of the Secured Debt and Grantor does not agree to be personally liable on the Secured Debt. If this Security Instrument secures a guaranty between Lender and Grantor, Grantor agrees to waive any rights that may prevent Lender from bringing any action or claim against Grantor does not agree to be personally nate on the Secured Debt. It this Security instrument secures a guaranty between Lender and Grantor, Grantor agrees to waive any rights that may prevent Lender from bringing any action or claim against Grantor or any party indebted under the obligation. These rights may include, but are not limited to, any anti-deficiency or one-action laws. Grantor agrees that Lender and any party to this Security Instrument may extend, modify or make any change in the terms of this Security Instrument or any evidence of debt without Grantor's consent. Such a change will not release Grantor from the terms of this Security Instrument. The duties and benefit the successors and assigns of Grantor and Lender.

APPLICABLE LAW, SEVENDARIM TAY, INSTRUMENTATION. This Security Instrument is accurated by the laws of the

and benefit the successors and assigns of Grantor and Lender.

APPLICABLE LAW; SEVERABILITY; INTERPRETATION. This Security Instrument is governed by the laws of the jurisdiction in which Lender is located, except to the extent otherwise required by the laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located. This Security Instrument is complete and fully integrated. This Security Instrument may not be amended or modified by oral agreement. Any section in this Security Instrument, attachments, or any agreement related to the Secured Debt that conflicts with applicable law will not be effective, unless that law expressly or impliedly permits the variations by written agreement. If any section of this Security Instrument cannot be enforced according to its terms, that section will be severed and will not affect the enforceability of the remainder of this Security Instrument. Whenever used, the singular shall include the plural and the plural the singular. The captions and headings of the sections of this Security Instrument.

Time is of the essence in this Security Instrument. Time is of the essence in this Security Instrument.

25. SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE. Lender, at Lender's option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor or SUCCESSOR INCOLES. Lender, at Lender's option, may from time to time remove trustee and appoint a successor or successors to any trustee without any other formality than the designation in writing. The successor or any successors to any trustee, without conveyance of the Property, shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee by this Security Instrument and applicable law.

NOTICE. Unless otherwise required by law, any notice shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to the appropriate party's address on page I of this Security Instrument, or to any other address designated in writing. Notice to one grantor will be deemed to be notice to all grantors.

WATUEDS. Except to the average expenditude to be received and the law Company to the average averaging as to the

WAIVERS. Except to the extent prohibited by law, Grantor waives the benefit of the homestead exemption as to this obligation and any rights of appraisement and reinstatement.

GRANTOR HEREBY EXPRESSLY WAIVES AND RELEASES ANY REQUIREMENT OR OBLIGATION THAT THE LENDER OR THE TRUSTEE PRESENT EVIDENCE OR OTHERWISE PROCEED BEFORE ANY COURT, CLERK, OR OTHER JUDICIAL OR QUASI-JUDICIAL BODY BEFORE EXERCISE OF THE POWERS OF SALE CONTAINED IN THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT AND IN SECTION 55-59 AND SECTIONS 55-59.1 THROUGH 55-59.4 OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA (1950), AS AMENDED.

28. OTHER TERMS. If checked, the following are applicable to this Security Instrument:

☐ Line of Credit. The Secured Debt includes a revolving line of credit provision. Although the Secured Debt may be reduced to a zero balance, this Security Instrument will remain in effect until released. ☐ Construction Loan. This Security Instrument secures an obligation incurred for the construction of an improvement on the Property ☐ Fixture Filing. Grantor grants to Lender a security interest in all goods that Grantor owns now or in the future and that are or will become fixtures related to the Property. This Security Instrument suffices as a financing statement and any carbon, photographic or other reproduction may be filed of record for purposes of Article 9 of the Uniform

Riders. The covenants and agreements of each of the riders checked below are incorporated into and supplement and amend the terms of this Security Instrument. [Check all applicable boxes] □ Condominium Rider □ Planned Unit Development Rider □ Other

☐ Additional Terms.

SIGNATURES: By signing below, Grantor agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any attachments. Grantor also acknowledges receipt of a copy of this Security Instrument on the date stated on page 1.

| Witness the following signatures and seals. | , |
|---|-----------------|
| (2-13- | 06 |
| (Signaphy) CAREN J WILSON (Da | ···· |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT: | |
| COMMONWEALTH OF | this |
| by CAREN T. WILSON | |
| My commission expires: $2/28/2010$ | Ceref to Belok |
| | (Notary Public) |

15. REMEDIES ON DEFAULT. In some instances, federal and state law will require Lender to provide Grantor with notice of the right to cure or other notices and may establish time schedules for foreclosure actions. Subject to these limitations, if any, Lender may accelerate the Secured Debt and foreclose this Security Instrument in a manner provided by law if Grantor is in default.

any, Lender may accelerate the Secured Debt and foreclose this Security Instrument in a manner provided by law if Grantor is in default.

At the option of Lender, all or any part of the agreed fees and charges, accrued interest and principal shall become immediately due and payable, after giving notice if required by law, upon the occurrence of a default or anytime thereafter. In addition, Lender and Trustee shall be entitled to all the remedies provided by law, the terms of the Secured Debt, this Security Instrument and any related documents, including without limitation, the power to direct the Trustee to execute the trust created hereby and in so doing exercise all of the powers under applicable law and as set forth in Va. Code Section 55-59 and 55-59.1, through 55-59.4, as in effect on the date of this Security Instrument.

If there is a default, Trustee shall, in addition to any other permitted remedy, at the request of the Lender, advertise and sell the Property as a whole or in separate parcels at public auction to the highest bidder for cash and convey absolute title free and clear of all right, title and interest of Grantor at such time and place as Trustee designates. Trustee shall give notice of sale including the time, terms and place of sale and a description of the Property to be sold as required by the applicable law in effect at the time of the proposed sale.

Upon sale of the Property and to the extent not prohibited by law, Trustee shall make and deliver a deed to the Property sold which conveys absolute title to the purchaser, and after first paying all fees, charges and costs, shall pay to Lender all moneys advanced for repairs, taxes, insurance, liens, assessments and prior encumbrances and interest thereon, and the principal and interest on the Secured Debt, paying the surplus, if any, to Grantor. Lender may purchase the Property. The recitals in any deed of conveyance shall be prima facle evidence of the facts set forth therein.

All remedies are distinct, cumulative and not exclusive, an

A. Exemptions waived.
B. Subject to call upon default.
C. Renewal, extension or reinstatement permitted.
D. Any Trustee may act.
B. Substitution of Trustees permitted.

E. Substitution of Trustees permitted.
F. Advertisement required: Advertisement shall be sufficient if published in a newspaper having a general circulation in the County or City where the Property or some part thereof is located either (a) once a week for two weeks, or (b) once a day for three days, which may be consecutive days.
17. EXPENSES; ADVANCES ON COVENANTS; ATTORNEYS' FEES; COLLECTION COSTS. Except when prohibited by law, Grantor agrees to pay all of Lender's expenses if Grantor breaches any covenant in this Security Instrument. Grantor will also pay on demand any amount incurred by Lender for insuring, inspecting, preserving or otherwise protecting the Property and Lender's security interest. These expenses will bear interest from the date of the payment until paid in full at the highest interest rate in effect as provided in the terms of the Secured Debt, Grantor agrees to pay all costs and expenses incurred by Lender in collecting, enforcing or protecting Lender's rights and remedies under this Security Instrument. This amount may include, but is not limited to, attorneys' fees, court costs, and other legal expenses. This Security Instrument shall remain in effect until released. Grantor agrees to pay for any recordation costs of such release. such release

expenses. This Security Instrument shall remain in effect until released. Grantor agrees to pay for any recordation costs of such release.

18. ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES. As used in this section, (1) Environmental Law means, without limitation, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), and all other federal, state and local laws, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general opinions or interpretive letters concerning the public health, safety, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general opinions or interpretive letters concerning the public health, safety, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general opinions or interpretive letters concerning the public health, safety, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general continueds. The term includes, without limitation, any substances defined as "hazardous material," "toxic substances," "hazardous waste," "hazardous substance," or "regulated substance" under any Environmental Law.

Grantor represents, warrants and agrees that:

A. Except as previously disclosed aschemowledged in writing to Lender, no Hazardous Substance is or will be located, stored or released on or in the Property. This restriction does not apply to small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate for the normal use and maintenance of the Property.

B. Except as previously disclosed and acknowledged in writing to Lender, Grantor and every tenant have been, are, and shall remain in full compliance with any applicable Environmental Law.

C. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender if a release or threatened release of a Hazardous Substance occurs on, under or about the Property or there is a violation of any Environmental Law.

D. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender in writing as soon as Grantor has reason to believe there is any pending or threatened investigation, claim, or proceeding relating to the release or threatened release

document.

20. INSURANCE. Grantor shall keep Property insured against loss by fire, flood, theft and other hazards and risks reasonably associated with the Property due to its type and location. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding two sentences can change during the term of the Secured Debt. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Grantor subject to Lender's approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. If Grantor fails to maintain the coverage described above, Lender may, at Lender's option, obtain coverage to protect Lender's rights in the Property according to the terms of this Security transferment.

All insurance policies and renewals shall be acceptable to Lender and shall include a standard "mortgage clause" and, where applicable, "loss payes clause." Grantor shall immediately notify Lender of cancellation or termination of the insurance, Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewals. If Lender requires, Grantor shall immediately give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. Upon loss, Grantor shall give immediate notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made immediately by Grantor.

[page 3 of 4]

MFVA7086 (8/02) / 047-1784/17-0 (page 3 of 4) Books C1994 Senkers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form RFC-REDTSVA 3/8/2009.

B. All future advances from Lender to Grantor or other future obligations of Grantor to Lender under any promissory note, contract, guaranty, or other evidence of debt executed by Grantor in favor of Lender after this Security Instrument whether or not this Security Instrument is specifically referenced. If more than one person signs this Security Instrument, each Grantor agrees that this Security Instrument will secure all future advances and future obligations that are given to or incurred by any one or more Grantor, or any one or more Grantor and others. All future advances and other future obligations are secured by this Security Instrument even though all or part may not yet be advanced. All future advances and other future obligations are secured as if made on the date of this Security Instrument. Nothing in this Security Instrument shall constitute a commitment to make additional or future loans or advances in any amount. Any such commitment must be agreed to in a separate writing.

advances in any amount. Any such commitment must be agreed to in a separate writing.

C. All obligations Grantor owes to Lender, which may later arise, to the extent not prohibited by law, including, but not limited to, liabilities for overdrafts relating to any deposit account agreement between Grantor and Lender.

D. All additional sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender for insuring, preserving or otherwise protecting the Property and its value and any other sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender under the terms of this Security

This Security Instrument will not secure any other debt if Lender fails to give any required notice of the right of rescission. PAYMENTS. Grantor agrees that all payments under the Secured Debt will be paid when due and in accordance with the terms of the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument.

terms of the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument.

WARRANTY OF TITLE. Grantor warrants that Grantor is or will be lawfully seized of the estate conveyed by this Security Instrument and has the right to irrevocably grant, convey, and sell the Property to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale. Grantor also warrants that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record.

PRIOR SECURITY INTERESTS. With regard to any other mortgage, deed of trust, security agreement or other lien document that created a prior security interest or encumbrance on the Property, Grantor agrees:

A. To make all payments when due and to perform or comply with all covenants.

B. To promptly deliver to Lender any notices that Grantor receives from the holder.

C. Not to allow any modification or extension of, nor to request any future advances under any note or agreement secured by the lien document without Lender's prior written consent.

CLAIMS AGAINST TITLE. Grantor will pay all taxes, assessments, liens, encumbrances, lease payments, ground rents, utilities, and other charges relating to the Property when due. Lender may require Grantor to provide to Lender copies of all notices that such amounts are due and the receipts evidencing Grantor's payment. Grantor will defend title to the Property against any claims that would impair the lien of this Security Instrument. Grantor agrees to assign to Lender, as requested by Lender, any rights, claims or defenses Grantor may have against parties who supply labor or materials to maintain or improve the Property. maintain or improve the Prop

maintain of improve the Property.

DUE ON SALE OR ENCUMBRANCE. Lender may, at its option, declare the entire balance of the Secured Debt to be immediately due and payable upon the creation of, or contract for the creation of, any lien, encumbrance, transfer or sale of the Property. This right is subject to the restrictions imposed by federal law (12 C.F.R. 591), as applicable. This covenant shall run with the Property and shall remain in effect until the Secured Debt is paid in full and this Security

Instrument is released.

NOTICE - THE DEBT SECURED HEREBY IS SUBJECT TO CALL IN FULL OR THE TERMS THEREOF BEING MODIFIED IN THE EVENT OF SALE OR CONVEYANCE OF THE PROPERTY CONVEYED.

10. PROPERTY CONDITION, ALTERATIONS AND INSPECTION, Grantor will keep the Property in good condition and make all repairs that are reasonably necessary. Grantor shall not commit or allow any waste, impairment, or deterioration of the Property. Grantor will keep the Property free of noxious weeds and grasses. Grantor agrees that the nature of the occupancy and use will not substantially change without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will not permit any change in any license, restrictive covenant or easement without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will notify Lender of all demands, proceedings, claims, and actions against Grantor, and of any loss or damage to the Property. Lender or Lender's agents may, at Lender's option, enter the Property at any reasonable time for the purpose of inspecting the Property. Lender shall give Grantor notice at the time of or before an inspection specifying a reasonable purpose for the inspection. Any inspection of the Property shall be entirely for Lender's benefit and Grantor will in no way rely on Lender's inspection.

Lender's inspection.

11. AUTHORITY TO PERFORM. If Grantor fails to perform any duty or any of the covenants contained in this Security Instrument, Lender may, without notice, perform or cause them to be performed. Grantor appoints Lender as attorney in fact to sign Grantor's name or pay any amount necessary for performance. Lender's right to perform for Grantor shall not create an obligation to perform, and Lender's failure to perform will not preclude Lender from exercising any of Lender's other rights under the law or this Security Instrument. If any construction on the Property is discontinued or not carried on in a reasonable manner, Lender may take all steps necessary to protect Lender's security interest in the Property, including competion of the construction.

completion of the construction.

12. ASSIGNMENT OF LEASES AND RENTS. Grantor irrevocably grants, conveys and sells to Trustee, in trust for the benefit of Lender, as additional security all the right, title and interest in and to any and all existing or future leases, subleases, and any other written or verbal agreements for the use and occupancy of any portion of the Property, including any extensions, renewals, modifications or substitutions of such agreements (all referred to as "Leases") and rents, issues and profits (all referred to as "Rents"). Grantor will promptly provide Lender with true and correct copies of all existing and future Leases. Grantor may collect, receive, enjoy and use the Rents so long as Grantor is not in default under the terms of this Security Instrument.

terms of this Security Instrument.

Grantor acknowledges that this assignment is immediately effective between the parties to this assignment and effective as to third parties on the recording of this Security Instrument. Grantor agrees that Lender is entitled to notify Grantor or Grantor's tenants to make payment of Rents due or to become due directly to Lender after such recording, however, Lender agrees not to notify Grantor's tenants until Grantor defaults and Lender notifies Grantor of the default and demands that Grantor and Grantor's tenants pay all Rents due or to become due directly to Lender. On receiving notice of default, Grantor will endorse and deliver to Lender any payment of Rents in Grantor's possession and will receive any Rents in trust for Lender and will not commingle the Rents with any other funds. Any amounts collected will be applied as provided in this Security Instrument. Grantor warrants that no default exists under the Leases or any applicable landlord/tenant law. Grantor also agrees to maintain and require any tenant to comply with the terms of the Leases and anolicable law.

landlord/tenant law. Grantor also agrees to maintain and require any tenant to compy with the applicable law.

13. LEASEHOLDS; CONDOMINIUMS; PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENTS. Grantor agrees to comply with the provisions of any lease if this Security Instrument is on a leasehold. If the Property includes a unit in a condominium or a planned unit development, Grantor will perform all of Grantor's duties under the covenants, by-laws, or regulations of the condominium or planned unit development.

14. DEFAULIT, Grantor will be in default if any party obligated on the Secured Debt fails to make payment when due. Grantor will be in default if a breach occurs under the terms of this Security Instrument or any other document executed for the purpose of creating, securing or guarantying the Secured Debt. A good faith belief by Lender that Lender at any time is insecure with respect to any person or entity obligated on the Secured Debt or that the prospect of any payment or the value of the Property is impaired shall also constitute an event of default.

MFVA7086 (9/02) / 047-176477-9

| | 2 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---------|--|---|---------------------|
| 4 | 070001264 | , | <i>O</i> |
| v | Parcel Number: 41-A1-4-8-7 THIS IS A REFINANCE OF A DEED OF TRUST RECORDED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, CIRCUIT CO | our: | r of |
| , | VIRGINIA, IN DEED BOOK | | ,,,,,, |
| | PAGE, IN THE ORIGINAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$ After Recording Please Return THE OUTSTANDING PRINCIPAL BALANCE WHICH IS \$ KG Title, Inc. | n 10 | ï |
| | This document was prepared by: Homecomings Financial 10308B Baltimore National P | ike | |
| 260 | 2101 Rexford, Suite 250W Ellicott City, MD 21042 Charlotte, NC \ 28211 (410) 465-9200 | | |
| 32 | Commonwealth of Virginia Space Above This Line For Recording Data | | |
| | THIS IS A CREDIT LINE DEED OF TRUST (With Future Advance Clause) MIN: 1000626047175477 | 09 | |
| PAGE 1. | DATE AND PARTIES. The date of this Credit Line Deed of Trust (Security Instrument) is RWAMMER HIM. 2004. and the parties, their addresses and tax identification numbers, if required, are as follows: GRANTOR: CAREN J WILSON | | |
| | | | |
| | If checked, refer to the attached Addendum incorporated herein, for additional Grantors, their signal acknowledgments. | tures | and |
| | TRUSTEE: Samuel I. White, PC, a Corp. Chartered in the Commonwealth of VA 209 Business Park Drive Virginia Beach, VA 23462 | | |
| | | | |
| | LENDER: HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL, LLC (F/K/A HOMECOMINGS FINANCIAL NETWORK, INC.) 2101 REXFORD, SUITE 250W CHARLOTTE, NC 28211 | | |
| • | "MERS" is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting so nominee for Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Ins MERS is organized and existing under the laws of Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. B Flint, MI 48501-2026, tel. (886) 679-MERS. CREDIT LINE DEED OF TRUST. THIS IS A CREDIT LINE DEED OF TRUST within the meaning of | trum lox 2 | ent. 026, |
| Ã. | 55-58.2 of the Code of Virginia (1950), as amended. For purposes of such section, (i) the name of the noteholde by this Security Instrument is | r sec ., (ii) ITP, N | ured the C |
| | be secured is .EVIY.NOWETHOUGHAND AND MOUND. This limitation of amount does not include interest and other fees and charges validly made pursuant to this | Sect | rity |
| 3. | Instrument. Also, this limitation does not apply to advances made under the terms of this Security Instrument to Lender's security and to perform any of the covenants contained in this Security Instrument. CONVEYANCE. The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. For good and valuable consideration, the resufficiency of which is acknowledged, and to secure the Secured Debt (defined below) and Grantor's performant this Security Instrument, Grantor irrevocably grants, conveys and sells to Trustee, in trust for the benefit of Lender of sale, the following described property: | Lend ceipt ce u | er's and ader |
| | Legal description attached hereto and made a part hereof | | |
| | The property is located in .CHI.PEPER | | |
| | (Address) (City) (21) (Address) (ZIP C | | |
| 4. | Together with all rights, easements, appurtenances, royalties, mineral rights, oil and gas rights, all water and rights, ditches, and water stock and all existing and future improvements, structures, inclures, and replacements now, or at any time in the future, be part of the real estate described above (all referred to as "Property"), understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Grantor in this Security Ins but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assi the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the F and to take any action required of Lender, including but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instru SECURED DEBT AND FUTURE ADVANCES. The term "Secured Debt" is defined as follows: A. Debt incurred under the terms of all promissory note(s), contract(s), guaranty(s) or other evidence of debt of below and all their extensions, renewals, modifications or substitutions. (When referencing the debts belowed that you include items such as borrowers' names, note amounts, interest rates, maturity dates, etc. | trum igns) rope ment lescri | ent; has rty, |
| | Borrower(s) Promissory Note to Lender dated DRCEMBER 13TH, 2006 in the principal sum of U.S. \$ 59,000.00, with interest thereon, providing for monthly installments of principal and interest, with the balance of indebtedness, if not sooner paid, due and payable on JANUARY 1ST, 2022 | | d As |
| | The state of the s | , | / |

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E:

User Info SEC Info Home Search My Interests Help Nawaz Raja

RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust · FWP · RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust · On 1/24/07

Filed On 1/24/07 4:01pm ET · SEC File 333-131213-34 · Accession Number 1382368-7-6

in this entire Filing. Show Docs searched and every "hit". Find . Help... Wildcards: ? (any letter), * (many). Logic: for Docs: & (and), |(or); for Text: |(anywhere), "(&)" (near).

As Of

Filer

Filing

As/For/On Docs:Pgs

Issue

1/24/07 RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust

FWP

1:885 RALI Series 2007-(

Free Writing Prospectus · Rule 163/433 Filing Table of Contents

Document/Exhibit

Description

Pages

1: FWP

Free Writing Prospectus

885± 2,906K

FWP 1st "Page" of 3 TOC Previous Next Bottom Just 1st

Sponsored Ads...

AdChoices D

(Filed pursuant to Rule 433; SEC File No. 333-131213)

FREE WRITING PROSPECTUS - PRELIMINARY POOL INFORMATION

RESIDENTIAL FUNDING COMPANY, LLC MASTER SERVICER AND SPONSOR

RESIDENTIAL ASSET ACCREDIT LOANS, INC. DEPOSITOR

> RALI SERIES 2007-QS1 TRUST ISSUING ENTITY

MORTGAGE ASSET-BACKED PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES. SERIES 2007-QS1 (THE "CERTIFICATES")

Statement Regarding Assumptions as to Certificates, Pricing Estimates, and Other Information

Disclaimer

The depositor has filed a registration statement (including the prospectus (the "Prospectus")) with the SEC for the offering to which this communication relates. Before you invest, you should read the Prospectus in the registration statement and other documents the depositor has filed with the SEC for more

EURROWERS LOANX-

| JEFFERSONVILLEIN 471 0471674754 0471674754 | 7.0000 30 1 03 N | 616.81 12/14/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | 00 0.0000 |
|---|---------------------------|--|---|
| 11217905 E22/G0 INDIANAPOLIS IN 462 0471704429 0471704429 | 360 7.1250 6.8750 | 112,500.00 112,500.00 757.93 757.93 12/14/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | zz 1 75 00 0.0000 |
| 11217913 E22/G0 SAINT PETERSBUFL 337 0471710988 0471710988 | 360 6.3750 6.1250 | 176,000.00 176,000.00 1098.01 1098.01 12/14/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | zz 1 80 00 0.0000 |
| BOISE ID 837 0471722181 0471722181 0 | 360 6.6250 6.3750 | 196,000.00 196,000.00 1255.01 1255.01 12/13/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | zz 1 72 00 0.0000 |
| 11217921 E22/G0 ALBUQUERQUE NM 871: 0471701201 0471701201 | 360 6.7500 6.5000 | 152,000.00 152,000.00 985.87 985.87 12/13/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | zz 1 70 00 0.0000 |
| 11217925 E22/G02 COMBINE TX 7519 0471726760 0471726760 | 360 7.1250 6.8750 | 234,800.00 234,800.00 1581.89 1581.89 12/19/06 02/01/07 01/01/37 | ZZ 1 80 00 0.0000 |
| 11217935 E22/G02 COLORADO SPRINCO 8092 0471740712 0471740712 | 360 7.1250 6.8750 | 236,000.00 236,000.00 1589.98 1589.98 12/14/06 02/01/07 | zz 1 80 00 0.0000 |
| 0 11217945 E22/G02 CULPEPPER VA 2270 0471754754 | 360 6.7500 6.5000 | 236,000.00 236,000.00 1530.69 1530.69 12/13/06 02/01/07 | zz 1 80 00 0.0000 |

| | _ | | | |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 0471754754 |) | 0 | 01/01/37 | |
| 11217947 | 700 (000 | _ | · | * *** |
| 1121/94/ | E22/G02 | F | 182,400.00 | 2 Z |
| | | 360 | 182,400.00 | 1 |
| · | | 6.2500 | 1123.07 | 80 |
| T ONOMONE | 20 00F04 | 6.0000 | 1123.07 | |
| LONGMONT | CO 80504 | 1 | 12/19/06 | 00 |
| 0471754887 | | 03 | 02/01/07 | 0.0000 |
| 0471754887 0 | | 0 | 01/01/37 | |
| J | | | | |
| 11217991 | E22/G02 | F | 371,200.00 | ZZ |
| | | 360 | 371,200.00 | 1 |
| | | 7.1250 | 2204.00 | 79 |
| | | 6.8750 | 2204.00 | , , |
| Brookline | NH 03033 | 2 | 12/14/06 | 00 |
| 0471791459 | | 05 | 02/01/07 | 0.0000 |
| 0471791459 | | 0 | 01/01/37 | 0.0000 |
| 0 | | | ,,-, | |
| 11218023 | E22/G02 | _ | | |
| 11210023 | E22/ G02 | F | 155,600.00 | ZZ |
| | | 360 | 155,600.00 | 1 |
| | | 7.0000 | 1035.21 | 80 |
| ARVADA | 00.0000 | 6.7500 | 1035.21 | |
| 0471941575 | CO 80003 | 1 | 12/18/06 | 00 |
| 0471941575 | | 05 | 02/01/07 | 0.0000 |
| 04/19415/5 | · · · · · · | Q . | 01/01/37 | |
| - | | | | |
| 11218031 | E22/G02 | F | 160,000.00 | ZZ |
| | | 360 | 160,000.00 | 1 |
| | | 7.8750 | 1160.11 | 80 |
| | | 7.6250 | 1160.11 | |
| TAMPA | FL 33602 | · 5 | 12/14/06 | 00 |
| 0471951459 | | 05 | 02/01/07 | 0.0000 |
| 0471951459 | | 0 | 01/01/37 | |
| 0 | | | | |
| 11218045 | E22/G02 | F | 111,920.00 | |
| | , | 360 | 111,920.00 | ZZ |
| | | 7.3750 | | 1 |
| | | 7.1250 | 773.00 773.00 | 80 |
| MONROE | GA 30655 | 2 | | |
| 0471968644 | | 03 | 12/14/06 02/01/07 | 00 |
| 0471968644 | | ŏ | | 0.0000 |
| 0 | | • | 01/01/37 | |
| 11010060 | | | | |
| 11218063 | E22/G02 | F | 200,000.00 | ZZ |
| | | 360 | 200,000.00 | 1 |
| | | 7.1250 | 1347.44 | 80 |
| | | 6.8750 | 1347.44 | |
| SIKESTON | MO 63801 | 1 | 12/19/06 | 00 |
| 0471991729 | - L | 05 | 02/01/07 | 0.0000 |
| 0471991729 | | 0 | 01/01/37 | , |
| 0 | | | | |
| 11218069 | E22/G02 | F | 104,000.00 | ZZ |
| | | 360 | 104,000.00 | 22 1 |
| | | 7.7500 | 671.67 | - |
| | | 7.5000 | 671.67 | .80 |
| INDIAN TRAIL | NC 28079 | 1 | 12/19/06 | 00 |
| 0472004928 | | 03 | 02/01/07 | 00 |
| 0472004928 | | N | 01/01/37 | 0.0000 |
| 0 | | • | 01/01/3/ | |
| | | | • / | |

SEC Info Home Search My Interests Help User Info Nawaz Raja

RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust

1 Closely Related: Residential Accredit Loans Inc - SEC # 949493 - 2/11/08 Go

Click on these tabs to view other information related to RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust.

View: Registrant About Filings Files Relationships Names Topics Sites All

28 SEC Filings (from 11/30/06 to 3/31/08)

E-Mail: Send me notifications of all future filings involving RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust

Most-Recent: 10-K -- Annual Report -- Form 10-K -- for 12/31/07 -- as Filer

List All Filings

■ as Filer ■ as "Owner" ■ as "Issuer" ■ as Filing Agent

Find in recent filings. Show Filings with "hits" and every "hit".

Help... Wildcards: ? (any letter), * (many). Logic: for Docs: & (and), | (or); for Text: | (anywhere), "(&)" (near).

Documents & Exhibits (as Filer or "Owner")

| Last Filing | <u>Type</u> | | <u>Description</u> | Documents |
|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--|------------------|
| 3/31/08 | <u>10-K</u> | Reports | Annual Report Form 10-K | 1 |
| 9/27/07 | 8-K | Reports | Current Reports Form 8-K | 4 |
| | | | · ABS Change of Servicer or Trustee | |
| | | | Financial Statements and Exhibits Other Events | |
| 1/22/08 | 15-15D | Reports | Notice of Suspension of Duty to File Reports Form 15 | 1 |
| 1/9/08 | 10-D | Reports | Periodic Distribution Reports by Asset-Backed Issuers | |
| | | _ | Form 10-D | 23 |
| 12/7/07 | FWP | Registrations | Free Writing Prospectuses Rule 163/433 | 6 |
| 12/20/07 | 424B5 | Registrations | Prospectuses Rule 424(b)(5) | 4 |
| 3/31/08 | EX-34 | Attestation Rep | ports on Assessments of Compliance with Servicing Criteria ed Securities | 10 |
| 3/31/08 | EX-31 | | er Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Section 302) | 1 |
| 12/20/07 | GRAPHIC | Images and Pic | | 4. |
| 2/15/07 | EX-10 | Material Contra | acts | 4 |
| 1/9/08 | EX-99 | Miscellaneous. | Exhibits, including Press Releases | 24 |
| 1/29/07 | <u>EX-5</u> | Opinion re: Les | gality | 1 |
| 1/29/07 | <u>EX-8</u> | Opinion re: Tax | | 1 |
| 3/31/08 | EX-33 | | npliance with Servicing Criteria for Asset-Backed Securities | |
| 3/31/08 | EX-35 | Servicer Comp | liance Statements for Asset-Backed Securities | 5 |
| | | | | |

Documents & Exhibits (as Subject Company or "Issuer")

<u>Last Filing</u> <u>Type</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Documents</u>

12-12020-mg Doc 5222-5 Filed 09/27/13 Entered 09/27/13 10:34:32 Exhibit E:

Proof of Claim 4574 submitted to KCCLCC and recorded on November 14 Pg 74 of 74

SEC Info - RALI Series 2007-QS1 Trust - Filings http://www.secinfo.com/\$/SEC/Registrant.asp?CIK=138236

| 12/7/07 | FWP | Registrations Free Writing Prospectuses Rule 163/433 | 6 | |
|---------|---------|--|---|-------------|
| 12/7/07 | GRAPHIC | Image or Picture | 1 | |
| | | Sponsored Ads | | AdChoices(> |
| | | | | |

Copyright © 2011 Fran Finnegan & Company. All Rights Reserved. <u>About - Privacy - Redactions - Help</u> — Sat, 25 Jun 06:59:56.2 GMT